Cal Poly Pomona - Assessment of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Threats (SWOT)

STRENGTHS

Resources - People

- 1. High faculty dedication to teaching.
- 2. Diverse faculty and staff
- 3. Diverse student body
- 4. Commitment to scholarship and creativity.
- 5. Strong pool of lecturers.
- 6. New faculty.
- 7. Large alumni pool.

Resources - Financial

- 8. Generous in-state financial support for students.
- 9. Capital campaign (Kellogg endowment).
- 10. Student success fee.

Resources - Facilities

- 11. Campus setting.
- 12. Innovation village campus.
- 13. Center for Regenerative Studies
- 14. Agricultural land/ Kellogg Arabian Horse Center.

Brand

15. Unique programs – in Engineering, HRT, Architecture, Biology, Nutrition.

Positioning

- 16. High value-for-money ranking.
- 17. High career payback ranking
- 18. SoCal Location
- 19. Polytechnic and HSI identity
- 20. STEM commitment
- 21. Learn by doing approach.
- 22. Low cost of tuition
- 23. Relative focus on applied research.
- 24. Islands of excellence in basic research.
- 25. Smaller class sizes
- 26. Kellogg Honors College.
- 27. Emphasis on face-to-face instruction.
- 28. Relationships with local industry.

Management

- 29. Conservative fiscal management.
- 30. Moderately high level of campus cohesion students/faculty/depts./ colleges/ divisions/ leadership.
- 31. Flexibility for development of faculty roles: teacher, scholar, teacher-scholar.

OPPORTUNITIES

Competition with other educational institutions

- 1. Demand for low cost, but high quality education.
- 2. Decline in geographical barriers to higher education.
- 3. Global demand for higher education.
- 4. Increased emphasis on importance of scholarship roles.
- 5. New internal and external partnerships e.g. AMPSoCal
- 6. External fund-raising momentum.
- 7. Increased engagement with Alumni.
- 8. Growing cohort of new tenure track faculty.
- 9. Undergraduate focused research.
- 10. Funding for sabbaticals.

Political and legislative developments

- 11. Federal, state and local government support for STEM and manufacturing.
- 12. Grant funds for minority serving institutions.

Economic and societal developments

- 13. Growing population and economic potential of soCal including Inland Empire.
- 14. Improved state budget.
- 15. Increasing complexity of jobs and pace of change.
- 16. Growing global interconnections.
- 17. Improving quality of incoming students.
- 18. Projected growth in demand for higher and continuing education.
- 19. Increased female students ratio in STEM fields.
- 20. Array of challenges facing advancement society.
- 21. Meeting higher education demand from minorities, especially African Americans & Native Americans.

Technological change

- 22. Advances in new instructional technologies.
- 23. Opportunities for applied research, technology transfer, continuing education and training.
- 24. Close to big aerospace industry cluster.

<u>Management</u>

25. New campus leadership.

WEAKNESSES

Resources - People

- 1. Low Tenured/Tenure Track: Temp faculty ratios.
- 2. Lack of critical mass in T/TT faculty to carry service load etc
- 3. Too few teaching, admin and technical positions in colleges.
- 4. Low faculty and staff morale (workload, resources and pay)
- 5. Insufficient resources available to faculty for professional development and independent research.
- 6. Excessive bureaucracy wastes time and discourages valuable academic activities (e.g. field trips, grants).
- 7. High teaching/service loads starve time for other activities.
- 8. Lecturers under valued and under utilized as resources.

Resources - Financial

- 9. Financial resources inadequate and tightly constrained.
- 10. Shortfall of independent financial support for scholarships.
- 11. Lack of imaginative leadership for advancement.
- 12. Too few big donors.
- 13. No effective leadership for increasing financial resources at legislative levels.

Resources - Facilities

- 14. Inadequate and poorly maintained teaching facilities, including a high proportion that are outdated.
- 15. Lack of adequate graduate/ research facilities.
- 16. Limited campus social life.
- 17. Overpriced cost of facilities support.
- 18. Lack of public transportation links.
- 19. No imaginative master plan for the campus.

Brand

- 20. Lack of effort/ success with public relations and marketing.
- 21. Limited reputation of CPP in shadow of other CA schools.
- 22. Weak self-image.
- 23. Weak relationship with and support from Alumni.

Positioning

- 24. High remediation needs for incoming freshmen.
- Under-developed graduate school in terms of students and programs.
- 26. Too few resources devoted to Learn by Doing.
- 27. Under-developed Continuing/ Extended education program.
- 28. Under-developed outreach programs.
- 29. Parochial perspective lack of: (a) study abroad, (b) faculty student exchanges, (c) interdisciplinary opportunities.
- 30. Low level of scholarship and grant activity.

<u>Management</u>

- 31. Top heavy administration: too many layers, too many chiefs.
- 32. Planning is under developed a lack of vision, objectives and measurable outcomes.
- 33. Lack perception/agility to respond to opportunities/ threats.
- 34. Organizational structure issues (a) enrollment and Academic Affairs misaligned, (b) excessive bureaucracy (slow decision-making), (c), weak chairs/ uncooperative faculty.
- 35. Poor organizational infrastructure student services, IT, grant support.
- 36. Weak relationships hierarchically and between divisions and colleges.
- 37. Slow in adopting new instructional technologies?
- 38. Inauthentic assessment of learning.
- 39. Primacy of academic activities not valued in other divisions.
- 40. Pace of senior faculty retirements.
- 41. Opaque financial procedures.
- 42. Insufficient funds trickle down to the level where value is added at the faculty level and in the classroom.

THREATS

Competition with other educational institutions

- 1. Competition from public and private schools with stronger brands and/or better resources, including community colleges.
- 2. Loss of high quality faculty.
- 3. Loss of students quality and numbers.
- 4. Undergraduate continuation and graduation rates regarded as low by government.
- 5. Other schools are ahead in the movement to online/hybrid courses.
- 6. Lack of articulation with secondary schools in this region or the state.

Political and Economic developments

- 7. Ever increasing bureaucracy/ unfunded mandates impacting the educational process, diverting time and financial resources.
- 8. Continued government underfunding.
- 9. Rigid controls on possible actions: (a) Chancellor mandates, (b) governor meddling, (c) federal budget challenges.
- 10. Lack of strong relations with the state legislature.
- 11. No organization or outreach to parents and prospective students.
- 12. Rising cost to students of higher education and attendant student loan debt.

<u>Developments in society</u>

- 13. Public mindset of education as a current expense rather than a future investment.
- 14. Disaffection in industry and public regarding graduate preparedness.
- 15. Lack of student preparedness for higher education and student focus on the process (paper chase) rather than learning.
- 16. Graduate unemployment and under-employment.

Technological change

- 17. Acceleration of technological change in higher education.
- 18. Removal of geographic barriers to education through technology.