

Annual Assessment Report 2020-2021

MA Education: All options

Education

College of Education and Integrative Studies

CONTACT

Name of Program Assessment Lead Richard Navarro Name of Person Completing Report Richard Navarro

DISCIPLINARY ACCREDITATION No.

DEVELOPMENT AND DOCUMENTATION OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

How were the program's SLOs developed? (select all that apply)

We developed them as a program/department using our own knowledge and expertise of the field.

Other than the <u>CPP Catalog</u> and the <u>Office of Assessment and Program Review website</u>, where else are your SLOs published? Select all that apply.

- Department Website provide URL: https://www.cpp.edu/assessment/learningoutcomes/programlearning-outcomes.shtml
- Course Syllabi

ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES IN 2020-2021

This section provides the opportunity for programs to share and discuss assessment activities conducted in **AY 2020-2021.** This includes data collection, rubric development, data analysis, discussion of findings, development or implementation of closing the loop improvement strategies, update of your assessment plan and/or curriculum matrix, etc.

How many total SLOs does your program assess according to your assessment plan?

• 1

How many SLOs did your program assess this past year in 2020-2021?

• My program assessed SLOs in AY 2020-2021

Please list the SLOs examined

• SLO #2: SLO # 2 - Apply educational research methods to develop a scholarly or creative project or thesis that contributes to the advancement of the field.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO):

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
Created/modified/discussed assessment procedures (e.g., SLOs, curriculum matrix, mechanism to collect student work, rubric, survey, etc.)		
Collected indirect evidence of student learning (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, etc.)	We conducted a focus group interview with recent finishers. The results were reported to the program faculty.	

Findings			
N of	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
Artifacts			

IMPROVING THROUGH ASSESSMENT

Overall, what best describes how the program used the results in 2020-2021? Select all that apply.

Assessment procedure changes (SLOs, curriculum matrix, rubrics, evidence collected, sampling, communications with faculty, etc.)

Ideas to improve student learning can come from different constituents. With whom did the program discuss assessment planning and/or share results during AY 2020-2021? Select all that apply.

- Program/department faculty as whole
- Students
- Other, please explain: Students who were finishing their culminating experience participated in a focus group interview and provided feedback.
- Other, please explain: Students also took an exit survey.

The past academic year posed both challenges and opportunities. Please share any assessment discoveries (e.g., insights about assessment procedures, great achievements, etc.) regarding program assessment in 2020-2021 so that others may learn from your experiences.

The rubric that was developed was for faculty to be able to assess the culminating experience/project. It is not intended to be used for scoring individual projects

<u>CPP's GI2025 goals</u> focus on eliminating equity gaps. What plans do you already implement, or would implement to support the campus' diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts? (e.g., planned or current disaggregation of assessment data by race/ethnicity, etc.)

We have no specific DEI plans at this time.

The most current assessment plan and curriculum matrix we have on file for your program may be found here. To ensure we have the most updated assessment plan and curriculum matrix for your program, and for posting on our website, please upload the following documents:

Assessment Plan Yes

Curriculum Matrix Yes

If you would like us to review other assessment documents such as your evidence (e.g., assignment, survey, interview questions etc.) or scoring rubric, please upload/provide them. (Select all that apply)

Rubric