

Annual Assessment Report 2019-2020

BS Physics Physics & Astronomy College of Science

DOCUMENTATION OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Other than the CPP Catalog and the Office of Assessment and Program Review website, where else are your SLOs published? Select all that apply.

Department Website - provide URL: https://www.cpp.edu/sci/physics-astronomy/about/progam-assessment.shtml

ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES IN 2019-2020

This section provides the opportunity for programs to share and discuss assessment activities conducted in AY 2019-2020. This includes data collection, rubric development, data analysis, discussion of findings, development or implementation of closing the loop improvement strategies, update of your assessment plan and/or curriculum matrix, etc.

Please select one of the following:

My program engaged in assessment activities in AY 2019-2020.

Please list the SLOs examined (i.e., data collection, analysis, and/or closing the loop) during AY 2019-2020 (e.g., Students will conduct research following disciplinary standards.)

- SLO #1: Students will develop laboratory techniques (set-up troubleshooting, perform experiments) _ (Department SLO 4)
- SLO #2: Students will be able to interpret experimentally obtained results) _ (Department SLO 5)
- SLO #3: Spoken Communication: Students will be able to give verbal presentations on physical principles, applications of physical investigations, at a level understandable by an audience of novices. These presentations may include visual aids.) (Department SLO 4b)

Student	Assessment	Evidence	Evaluation		Findi	ngs	
Learning Outcome (SLO)	Activities	Used	and Interpretation of Evidence	N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
SLO #1: Students will develop laboratory techniques (set- up troubleshooting, perform experiments) _ (Department SLO 4)	Collected/scored direct evidence of student learning (e.g., student work scored with rubrics, exam items, etc.) Discussed assessment results to make program decisions to improve SLO achievement (e.g., design new course, modify assignments, etc.)	Direct: • Other, please explain: Formal labatory reports	Used a rubric or scoring guide	Eight different lab reports were analyzed describing student work on 5 different lab experiments	Adequate descriptions of these in written lab reports show that students are able to communicate developed laboratory techniques and were thus able to set up an perform the experiment in the actual laboratory course	From looking over student labs, students generally gave a more than adequate description of the purpose and importance of the laboratory experiment. The written experimental backgrounds were clear and concise. Lower scores were associated when the detail or background was not as clear, but this only happened in a few cases. Student's description of the setup were also generally very clear and easy to follow. These descriptions listed all the necessary equipment, settings, and relevant connections. Only a few lower scores were given when students	Use of student lab reports may not be adequate for assessment. Just because a student doesn't describe something in their lab report, does not mean that they cannot do said thing. However, having the student structure lab reports in this way will contribute to the development of their written communication of scientific work

	provided no detail
	about the
	experimental set
	up and only what
	measurements
	were being taken.
	Students
	collection of data
	met
	expectations but
	did not exceed
	them. In the
	collected data
	students would
	often make many
	measurements
	but only complete
	one run of the
	experiment rather
	than completing
	multiple runs and
	averaging the
	data. This is
	considered
	exceeding
	expectations
	since it is better
	scientific
	practice and
	shows that the
	student
	understands the
	level uncertainty
	when making
	physical
	measurements.
	Additionally, some
	students did not
	present raw data

			for other	
			measurements	
			used in	
			calculation (e.g.,	
			length of solenoid,	
			diameter of wire,	
			etc.)	

Student	Assessment	Evidence	Evaluation	on Findings				
Learning Outcome (SLO)	Activities	Used	and Interpretation of Evidence	N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result	
SLO #2: Students will be able to interpret experimentally obtained results) _ (Department SLO 5)	Collected/scored direct evidence of student learning (e.g., student work scored with rubrics, exam items, etc.) Discussed assessment results to make program decisions to improve SLO achievement (e.g., design new course, modify assignments, etc.)	Direct: Other, please explain: Formal labatory reports	Used a rubric or scoring guide	Eight different lab reports were analyzed describing student work on 5 different lab experiments	Average score based on the rubric developed	Students analysis of data was satisfactory on average. Students receiving a high score explicitly showed calculation and provided the underlying reasoning associated with using the equation. Students' that failed to meet expectation either would provide graphs and tables but give no description of the variables or calculation or context for choices made during analysis. All students compared the data to predicted values or to literature. This generally involved a calculation of percent difference. Showing the calculation and citing the source for the known value was marked as meeting expectations. Failing to meet expectations meant that students did not cite the appropriate source, show the calculation, or made a comparison to the wrong quantity. The	The use of uncertainty in measurement often doesn't show up in upper division labs. Yet, if it is something that we deem as an important skill, enough to be a learning outcome, we need to either discuss where force the use of it in the upper division labs	

average score for uncertainty or discussion of limitations was less than the score	
discussion of limitations	
was less than the score	
f.,, n., f. W.,	
for the failing	
to meet expectation.	
This is because half of	
the analyzed students	
did not use uncertainty	
in their calculation or	
discuss experimental	
limitations. There were	
a number of cases	
where there was a	
large margin of error	
(>50%) and students	
failed to discuss	
possible errors in the	
experiment or	
attempted to find their	
mistakes in calculation.	
Students scoring 1	
gave only small	
suggestions for error.	

Student	Assessment	Evidence	Evaluation		Findin	ıgs	
Learning Outcome (SLO)	Activities	Used	and Interpretation of Evidence	N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye- opening Result
SLO #3: Spoken Communication: Students will be able to give verbal presentations on physical principles, applications of physical investigations, at a level understandable by an audience of novices. These presentations may include visual aids.) _ (Department SLO 4b)	Collected/scored direct evidence of student learning (e.g., student work scored with rubrics, exam items, etc.)	Direct: • Oral performance (e.g., presentation, defense, conference presentation, etc.)	Used a rubric or scoring guide	We collected data from PHY4630 Senior seminar class in spring 2020. Students gave oral scientific presentations as part of their course assignments. Rating was given using the rub	the data collected were for virtual online presentations through zoom. Therefore, we were not able to assess all categories. When rater feels like they could not judge the category, they gave the "na.".	Students perform well on the "Etiquette and Presentation Delivery" category. The form especially well on the time management and making the slides easy to follow. They speak with a clear voice and speakers smoothly. The category that needs the most improvement is "appropriate styles for the slides", it seems like students could improve more to make sure their slides are professional in terms of using appropriate font sizes, colors, texts, size of images. Students perform relatively well on the	Given the fact that this is a course for graduating seniors, the category that needs the most improvement is "appropriate styles for the slides", it seems like students could improve more make sure, appropriate font sizes, colors, texts, size of images

1				
			structure and	
			organization"	
			category, but	
			relatively less well	
			compared with	
			their performance	
			on the previous	
			category. They	
			perform best on	
			making the	
			introduction part	
			to make the	
			purpose and goals	
			clear. The	
			category that	
			needs the most	
			improvement is	
			"summary or	
			conclusions and	
			brings the closure	
			effectively". Some	
			student did not	
			include a	
			summary and that	
			is why it brings	
			down the average.	
			In the future,	
			students might	
			perform better if	
			given explicitly	
			reminders to	
			include a	
			summary section	
			for their	
			presentation. They	
			could also use	
			some extra	
			practice on how to	
			bring their	
			presentation to an	

			effective closure.	
			For scientific	
			presentations, an	
			effective closure	
			includes some	
			bullet points of the	
			main conclusions	
			as well as some	
			insights on how	
			their work impact	
			future directions.	
			Students perform	
			best on this	
			"content" category	
			compared with	
			previous two	
			categories. They	
			perform with	
			highest score on	
			"knowledge of the	
			topic", "properly	
			citing the	
			references" and	
			"clearly explain	
			the methodology"	
			items. The	
			category that	
			needs the most	
			improvement is	
			"keeping the	
			and the listener	
			feel they can	
			follow the talk and	
			audiences	
			motivated has	
			audience interested in the scientific content and the listener feel they can follow the talk and genuinely learned". Keeping audiences	

T		1		
			always been a	
			challenging	
			aspect even for	
			experienced	
			presenters like	
			professors	
			themselves. In the	
			future, students	
			could use more	
			practices on how	
			to make their talk	
			more engaged	
			and intriguing.	
			Techniques	
			including asking	
			audiences more	
			questions, using	
			more visual aid	
			and a variety of	
			representations	
			(animation, sound,	
			visual aid, etc.)	
			could help.	
			Students should	
			also be	
			encouraged to	
			attend	
			professional	
			workshops	
			regarding	
			presentation skills.	

IMPROVING THROUGH ASSESSMENT

Overall, what best describes how the program used the results in 2019-2020? Select all that apply.

Assessment procedure changes (SLOs, curriculum matrix, rubrics, evidence collected, sampling, communications with faculty, etc.)

With whom did the program discuss assessment planning and/or share results during AY 2019-2020? Select all that apply.

- Program/department faculty as whole
- Program/department assessment committee

With the changes associated with remote teaching in Spring 2020, please share additional discoveries (e.g., insights about assessment procedures, teaching and learning, challenges, great achievements etc.) regarding program assessment in AY 2019-2020. (open-ended)

The 2019-2020 academic year introduced tremendous disruptions to the operation of laboratory courses in our department, as well as profound transformation in the instruction of our lecture courses. Our Assessment plan was designed with course modalities in both of these types of courses that no longer use face-to-face operations, and all hands-on laboratories have remained empty since mid-March 2020. The operations thereafter can only be described as interim replacements, some of which had to be created entirely from new and are still being recreated. Our planned operations, and the careful assessment plans we made on the basis of those operations, can only continue in earnest when the current crisis.

Please upload/provide the program's most updated Assessment Plan. Please don't forget to add "last updated <date>" in one of the cells in the Excel file.

Submission – yes

Q19. Please upload/provide the program's most updated Curriculum Matrix . Please don't forget to add "last updated <date>" in one of the cells in the Excel file.

Submission – yes

Q20. If you would like us to review other assessment documents such as your evidence (e.g., assignment, survey, interview questions etc.) or scoring rubric, please upload/provide them. (Select all that apply)

Submission – yes

Rubric