



Annual Assessment Report 2021-2022

MS Information Security Computer Information Systems College of Business

CONTACT

Name of Program Assessment Lead Sangho Lee

Name of Person Completing Report Sangho Lee

DISCIPLINARY ACCREDITATION Yes

DEVELOPMENT AND DOCUMENTATION OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

How were the program's SLOs developed? (select all that apply)

- Our disciplinary accrediting agency has required learning outcomes, so we use them.

Other than the [CPP Catalog](#) and the [Office of Assessment and Program Review website](#), where else are your SLOs published? Select all that apply.

- Published in alternative place. Please specify: Canvas

ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES IN 2021-2022

This section provides the opportunity for programs to share and discuss assessment activities conducted in **AY 2021-2022**. This includes data collection, rubric development, data analysis, discussion of findings, development or implementation of closing the loop improvement strategies, update of your assessment plan and/or curriculum matrix, etc.

How many total SLOs does your program assess according to your assessment plan?

- 3

How many SLOs did your program assess this past year in 2021-2022?

- My program assessed SLOs in AY 2021-2022

Please list the SLOs examined

- SLO #1: Students will demonstrate information security concepts and recommend appropriate responses in assessing, preventing, and managing information.
- SLO #2: Students will demonstrate IT auditing concepts and propose appropriate IT auditing methodologies.
- SLO #3: Students will demonstrate digital forensics concepts and choose appropriate forensics methodologies.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO): Students will demonstrate information security concepts and recommend appropriate responses in assessing, preventing, and managing information.

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collected direct evidence (e.g., student work, exam items, etc.) Scored direct evidence of student learning Interpreted and made meaning of findings for direct evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualifying/comprehensive exam (graduate level only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scored exams/tests/quizzes

Findings			
N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
21	Average score	Yes	Students performed very good. The results are on par with the previous assessment done in Spring 2020.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO): Students will demonstrate IT auditing concepts and propose appropriate IT auditing methodologies.

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collected direct evidence (e.g., student work, exam items, etc.) Scored direct evidence of student learning Interpreted and made meaning of findings for direct evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualifying/comprehensive exam (graduate level only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scored exams/tests/quizzes

Findings			
N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
21	Average score	Yes	Students performed very good. The results are on par with the previous assessment done in Spring 2020.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO): Students will demonstrate digital forensics concepts and choose appropriate forensics methodologies.

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collected direct evidence (e.g., student work, exam items, etc.) Scored direct evidence of student learning Interpreted and made meaning of findings for direct evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualifying/comprehensive exam (graduate level only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scored exams/tests/quizzes

Findings			
N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
21	Average score	Yes	Students performed very good. The results are on par with the previous assessment done in Spring 2020.

IMPROVING THROUGH ASSESSMENT

Overall, what best describes how the program used the results in 2021-2022? Select all that apply.

- Results indicated no action needed because students met expectations

Ideas to improve student learning can come from different constituents. With whom did the program discuss assessment planning and/or share results during AY 2021-2022? Select all that apply.

- No discussion occurred in AY 2020-2021

The past academic year posed both challenges and opportunities. Please share any assessment discoveries (e.g., insights about assessment procedures, great achievements, etc.) regarding program assessment in 2021-2022 so that others may learn from your experiences.

Students performed very good and met all the learning outcomes from the program.

CPP's GI2025 goals What assessment-related efforts do you already implement, or would implement to support the campus' diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts? (e.g., planned or current disaggregation of assessment data by race/ethnicity, etc.) Undergraduate programs may wish to refer to CPP's GI2025 goals. (Not Mandatory)

Not applicable - MSIS is a graduate program.

Does the program offer a certificate or credential (e.g., teaching credential)?

- No

The most current assessment plan and curriculum matrix we have on file for your program may be found [here](#). To ensure we have the most updated assessment plan and curriculum matrix for your program, and for posting on our website, please upload the following documents:

Assessment Plan

Yes

Curriculum Matrix

Yes