

Annual Assessment Report 2022-2023

BA Accounting Accounting College of Business

CONTACT

Name of Program Assessment Lead Mengjiao Nancy Du Name of Person Completing Report Mengjiao Nancy Du

DISCIPLINARY ACCREDITATION No.

DEVELOPMENT AND DOCUMENTATION OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

How were the program's SLOs developed? (select all that apply)

 We do not have disciplinary accreditation but drew from our disciplinary/professional organizations, and developed our SLOs as a program/department.

Other than the <u>CPP Catalog</u> and the <u>Office of Assessment and Program Review website</u>, where else are your SLOs published? Select all that apply.

- Department Website provide URL: https://www.cpp.edu/cba/accounting/about-us/
- Course Syllabi

ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES IN 2022-2023

This section provides the opportunity for programs to share and discuss assessment activities conducted in **AY 2022-2023**. This includes data collection, rubric development, data analysis, discussion of findings, development or implementation of closing the loop improvement strategies, update of your assessment plan and/or curriculum matrix, etc.

How many total SLOs does your program assess according to your assessment plan?

• 2

How many SLOs did your program assess this past year in 2022-2023?

• My program assessed SLOs in AY 2022-2023 (e.g., artifact collection, scoring, closing the loop, etc.). May also have engaged in assessment planning activities unrelated to specific SLOs (e.g., modified curriculum matrix, assessment plan, etc.).

Please list the SLOs examined

- SLO #1: Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills.
- SLO #2: Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO): Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills.

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
Created/modified/discussed assessment procedures (e.g., SLOs, curriculum matrix, mechanism to collect student work, rubric, survey, etc.)		
 Collected direct evidence (e.g., student work, exam items, etc.) Scored direct evidence of student learning Interpreted and made meaning of findings for direct evidence 	Oral performance (e.g., presentation, defense, conference presentation, etc.)	Used rubric or scoring guide
Discussed assessment results to make program decisions to improve SLO achievement (e.g., design new course, modify assignments, etc.)		

Findings			
N of Artifacts	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
73	Percentage at certain level	Yes	56% of students scored 90% of the total score and above. And 93% of students passed 75% threshold.

Student Learning Outcome (SLO): Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills.

Assessment Activities	Evidence Used	Evaluation and Interpretation of Evidence
Created/modified/discussed assessment procedures (e.g., SLOs, curriculum matrix, mechanism to collect student work, rubric, survey, etc.)		
 Collected direct evidence (e.g., student work, exam items, etc.) Scored direct evidence of student learning Interpreted and made meaning of findings for direct evidence 	Assignment/exam/paper completed as part of regular coursework	Used professional judgement (no rubric or scoring guide used)
Discussed assessment results to make program decisions to improve SLO achievement (e.g., design new course, modify assignments, etc.)		

Findings			
N of	Criterion Used	Goal Met	Eye-opening Result
Artifacts			
70	Percentage at certain level	Yes	33% of students scored 90% of total scores and above. And 79% of students passed the 75% threshold.

IMPROVING THROUGH ASSESSMENT

Overall, what best describes how the program used the results in 2022-2023? Select all that apply.

- Assessment procedure changes (SLOs, curriculum matrix, rubrics, evidence collected, sampling, communications with faculty, etc.)
- Results indicated no action needed because students met expectations

Ideas to improve student learning can come from different constituents. With whom did the program discuss assessment planning and/or share results during AY 2021-2022? Select all that apply.

- Program/department faculty as whole
- Program/department assessment committee

The past academic year posed both challenges and opportunities. Please share any assessment discoveries (e.g., insights about assessment procedures, great achievements, etc.) regarding program assessment in 2022-2023 so that others may learn from your experiences.

The assessment activities during the past academic year have been well planned and communicated early on to individual instructors. However, the rubrics designed for SLO2 was not used by the instructor because of the misunderstanding of the assessment purpose. We further discussed this issue during the faculty meeting and will continue to improve moving forward. We were also able to conduct an exit survey in the spring of 2023, which provides more insights on the program. The results will be further discussed during the next faculty meeting.

Please share how the program triangulates various data sources to determine student success. Consider assessment findings, CPP's Gl2025 markers, CSU Dashboard, CPP's Student Success Dashboard on Tableau, course evaluations, etc. CSU Dashboard, CPP's Student Success Dashboard on Tableau, course evaluations, etc.

Does the program offer a certificate or credential (e.g., teaching credential)?

No

The most current assessment plan and curriculum matrix we have on file for your program may be found here. To ensure we have the most updated assessment plan and curriculum matrix for your program, and for posting on our website, please upload the following documents:

Assessment Plan - Yes

Curriculum Matrix - Yes

If you would like us to review other assessment documents such as your evidence (e.g., assignment, survey, interview questions etc.) or scoring rubric, please upload/provide them. (Select all that apply)

• Evidence