# TOM3020 Fall 2020 Class Project

Data Analytics With Best Buy



#### Lab 1 Practice Hypothesis Testing

**Example:** On Black Friday, Best Buy wanted to analyze their data on laptop sales. The data below provides information given during that day. We have a 95% Confidence Interval.

Mean	Z- Test value	Value from	n Z-Table	p-Value	Double p-value
4	1.770978158	0.9	616	0.0384	0.0768
Total Sale	s (Thousands \$) Tr	ansaction #	Ы		C_1
	4.0	1	DI	аск глідаў	Jales
	3.5	2			
	3.5	3	Maaa		4.25
	4.5	4	mean		4.20
	4.5	5	Standard Er	ror	0.141164926
	4.0	6	Madian		4
	4.0	7	median		4
	5.5	8	Mode		4
	5.0	9	Standard D	ouistion	0.691564075
	4.5	10	Standard D	eviation	0.031304013
	3.0	12	<ul> <li>Sample Var</li> </ul>	iance	0.47826087
	5.0	13	Kurtosis		-0.835314479
	5.5	14			0.000011110
	5.0	15	Okewness		0.268883853
	5.0	16	Range		2.5
	3.5	17	Minimum		3
	4.0	18	Piininum		J
	4.0	19	Maximum		5.5
	4.0	20	Sum		102
	3.5	21	Jun		102
	3.5	22	Count		24
	4.0	23	Cartheres		0.202021000
	3.0	24	Conrigence	e Leveli 35.07	0.232021030

Null Hypothesis:	Mean	oflaptop	sales
<4.25			

<u>Alternative:</u> Mean of laptop sales = 4.25

**Conclusion:** Best Buy, does not reject the null hypothesis based on the P - value.



Shop Black Black Friday Ad doorbusters and deals now! \* Ends Sunday.

1)	Shivani Patel (sbp@cpp.edu)
2)	Mackenzie Shields (
	mgshields@cpp.edu)
	Khalil Meade,
	( <u>kmmeade@cpp.edu</u> )
4)	Michelle Scober,
	mscober@cpp.edu
	Gabriel Chipukites
	(gtchipukites@cpp.edu)
	Mary Gomez
	(marygomez@cpp.edu)
7)	Omie (ojghalambor@cpp.edu
8)	Finn Eckhardt
	(feckhardt@cpp.edu)
	Derek Hirose
	(dhirose@con edu)





### Lab 2 Practice Chi Square Lab

**Example:** Best Buy wants to determine if there was a significant difference in the number of new hires each week during the 10 weeks prior to Black Friday. The confidence interval is 95%.

Null Hypothesis: There is not a significant difference in the number of new hires each week

<u>Alternative Hypothesis:</u> There is a significant difference in the number of new hires each week

One-Way Chi-Square Test (Uniform Distribution/Multinomial Distribution)

l lucifa sun					Weekl	y Hires (Thou	usands)				
Uniform	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9	Week 10	Total
Observed	5.0	5.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.0	39.5
Observed %	12.66%	12.66%	8.86%	10.13%	10.13%	10.13%	8.86%	8.86%	10.13%	7.59%	100.00%
Expected	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	3.95	39.5
Expected %	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%	100.00%
χ <sup>2</sup> - Value	0.279113924	0.279113924	0.051265823	0.000632911	0.000632911	0.000632911	0.051265823	0.051265823	0.000632911	0.228481013	0.943037975
											Total
Overall χ	<sup>2</sup> - Value	0.94303797		16.9190	$\leftarrow \chi^2 \cdot Cri$	itical Value			α =	0.05	:
P-Val	ue	0.99956		9	Degrees o	of Freedom		P-Valu	$e \leq lpha  ightarrow Sig$	nificant	
Signific	ant?	No						Expected	Value < 5	Violation	



BEG

- Xiomara Rodriguez (xerodriguez@cpp.edu)
- 2. Belinda Gallardo
- 3. Arturo Sandoval (Arturos1@cpp.edu)
- . Breeana Berumen (Bhberumen@cpp.ed u)
- . Alex Thomas
- (atthomas@cpp.edu)
- 6. Ethan Nguyen
- Emmanuel Rodriguez
   (emmanuelr1@cpp.ed
- Celenia Perez
   celeniaperez@cn
  - <u>u</u>
- 9. Yesenia chavez ychavez@cpp.o

<u>Conclusion:</u> Do not reject the null hypothesis based on pvalue



#### Lab 3 Practice Simple Regression Lab

**Example:** Best Buy ran TikTok ads for their computers for 9 consecutive months during March through November.

**Null hypothesis**: There was no significant change in computer sales after the ad run.

Alternative hypothesis: There was significant change in computer sales

after the ad run.

8. Madeline Metis

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						-p area - s			0		25
SUMMARY OUTPUT       4       19         Image: Summary Output       Image:									5		23
3000000000000000000000000000000000000									4		19
Regression Statistics       2) $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ 3       21         Multiple R       0.681161638       9       3)       P-value = 0.043366497       0.05         Adjusted R Square       0.463981176       3)       P-Value 0.043366497 < 0.05	Somman Control		1) H <sub>o</sub> : β <sub>1</sub>	= 0			<b>`</b>		2		11
Multiple R       0.681161638       0.9 P-Value 0.043366497         R Square       0.463981176         Adjusted R Square       0.387407059         Standard Error       3.261179866         Observations       9         ANOVA	Regression Sta	tistics	<ol> <li>H<sub>1</sub>: β<sub>1</sub></li> <li>R yeal</li> </ol>	≠0 =0.043366	407				3		21
R Square       0.463981176       4, Freded c.054500457 < 0.053	Multiple R	0.681161638	<ol> <li>A) P-Valu</li> </ol>	0.0433664	97 < 0.05						
Adjusted R Square       0.387407059       Relationship between On-Line Ads and Computers Sold.         Standard Error       3.261179866       6) # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824(On-Line Ads)         ANOVA	R Square	0.463981176	5) Reject	H. 7 There is	s a significant	linear					
Standard Error       3.261179866       Computers Sold.       1) H <sub>o</sub> : $\beta_1 = 0$ Observations       9       6) # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824(On-Line Ads)       3) Critical Values: $\pm 2.365$ from T-Table df=7 (2 tail)         ANOVA	Adjusted R Square	0.387407059	Belati	onshin betwe	en On-Line A	Ads and					
Observations       9       6) # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824(On-Line Ads)       2) $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ ANOVA	Standard Error	3.261179866	Comp	uters Sold.			1)	$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$			
ANOVA       1.847058824(On-Line Ads)       3) Critical values: ± 2.365 from 1-1 able di=7 (2 tail)         ANOVA       0       1.847058824(On-Line Ads)       4) T-Stat = 2.461552939 > 2.365         df       SS       MS       F       Significance F         Regression       1       64.44183007       64.44183007       6.059242871       0.043366497         Residual       7       74.44705882       10.63529412       0.043366497       6) # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824*(On-Line Ads and Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824*(On-Line Ads)         Total       8       138.888889       0       0       0.043366497         Coefficients       Standard Error       t Stat       P-value       Lower 95%       Upper 95%       Lower 95.0%       Upper 95.0%       V         Intercept       11.51764706       3.270757507       3.521400481       0.009709356       3.783534536       19.25175958       3.783534536       19.25175958       3.621385924         TikTok Ads (Hundreds)       1.847058824       0.750363234       2.461552939       0.043366497       0.072731723       3.621385924       0.072731723       3.621385924	Observations	9	6) # Com	nputers Sold =	= 11.5176470	6 +	2)	H <sub>1</sub> : β <sub>1</sub> ≠ 0	+ 7 765 from 1	r T-61-	-16-7/7
ANOVA       df       SS       MS       F       Significance F       4)       T-Stat = 2.461552939 > 2.365         Regression       1       64.44183007       64.44183007       6.059242871       0.043366497         Residual       7       74.44705882       10.63529412       0.043366497         Total       8       138.888889       0       0       0.043366497         Coefficients       Standard Error       t Stat       P-value       Lower 95%       Upper 95%       Lower 95.0%       Upper 95.0%       Image: Construction of the con			1.847	058824(On-Li	ine Ads)			tail)	± 2.565 from	I-Table	ui=7 (2
df         SS         MS         F         Significance F	ANOVA			_	-		4)	T-Stat = 2.4615	52939 > 2.36	5	
Regression       1       64.44183007       64.44183007       6.059242871       0.043366497       Relationship between On-Line Ads and Computers Sold.         Residual       7       74.44705882       10.63529412       0       0       0.043366497       6) # Computers Sold.       6) # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824*(On-Line Ads)         Total       8       138.888889       -       <		df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	5)	Reject Ho? Ther	e is a significa	nt Linea	ar
Residual       7       74.44705882       10.63529412       Computers Sold       Computers Sold       11.51764706 +         Total       8       138.888889       1       1       6)       # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 +       1.847058824*(On-Line Ads)         Coefficients       Standard Error       t Stat       P-value       Lower 95%       Upper 95.%       Lower 95.0%       Upper 95.0%          Intercept       11.51764706       3.270757507       3.521400481       0.009709356       3.783534536       19.25175958       3.783534536       19.25175958       19.25175958       19.25175958       19.25175958       3.621385924	Regression	1	64.44183007	64.44183007	6.059242871	0.043366497		Relationship be	tween On-Lin	e Ads a	nd
Total         8         138.8888889         6         6         # Computers Sold = 11.51764706 + 1.847058824*(On-Line Ads)           Intercept         11.51764706         3.270757507         3.521400481         0.009709356         3.783534536         19.25175958         3.783534536         19.25175958         19.25175958         1.847058824         0.072731723         3.621385924	Residual	7	74.44705882	10.63529412				Computers Sold	1. 		
Coefficients         Standard Error         t Stat         P-value         Lower 95%         Upper 95%         Lower 95.0%         Upper 95.0%         Imper 95.0%         Im	Total	8	138.8888889				0)	# Computers 50 1 847058824*((	0a = 11.51764 On-Line Ads)	106 +	
Coefficients         Standard Error         t Stat         P-value         Lower 95%         Upper 95%         Lower 95.0%         Upper 95.0%           Intercept         11.51764706         3.270757507         3.521400481         0.009709356         3.783534536         19.25175958         3.783534536         19.25175958         19.25175958           TikTok Ads (Hundreds)         1.847058824         0.750363234         2.461552939         0.043366497         0.072731723         3.621385924         0.072731723         3.621385924         3.621385924											
Intercept         11.51764706         3.270757507         3.521400481         0.009709356         3.783534536         19.25175958         3.783534536         19.25175958           TikTok Ads (Hundreds)         1.847058824         0.750363234         2.461552939         0.043366497         0.072731723         3.621385924         0.072731723         3.621385924         0.072731723         3.621385924		Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95	% Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%		
TikTok Ads (Hundreds) 1.847058824 0.750363234 2.461552939 0.043366497 0.072731723 3.621385924 0.072731723 3.621385924	Intercept	11.51764706	3.270757507	3.521400481	0.009709356	3.783534536	19.251759	3.783534536	5 19.25175958		
	TikTok Ads (Hundreds)	1.847058824	0.750363234	2.461552939	0.043366497	0.072731723	3.6213859	0.072731723	3.621385924		

Television | Computers

Sold

20

15

20

18

25

Ads

5

4 6

2

0

# Lab 4 Multiple Regression Lab amazon.com

X Variable 1

X Variable 2

-0.73015873

1.174603175

**Example:** During Black Friday, Best Buy launched multiple online Ads campaigns to compete with Amazon's dominance during the COVID 19 Pandemic. Amazon is beating most traditional brick and mortar stores. This Multiple Linear Regression model predicts the number of computers sold at Best Buy (Y) based on # of Amazon Ads (X1) and and # of Best Buy Ads (X2).

Jensen Gomez (Jvgomez@cpp.ed u)
Madeline Metiz
(memetis@cpp.ed u)
George Johnson
(grjohnson@cpp.e du)
Marc Schreiber
(maschreiber@cp
p.edu)
Camrin Ware
<u>chware@cpp.edu</u>



Best Buy attempts to compete with online retailer Amazon by outclassing them with a higher # of Ads than Amazon. Model at 86% effectiveness shows that a higher number of Best Buy Ads generates more Computer Sales.

SUMMARY OUTPUT	Г					1)	$H_o: \beta_1 = \beta_2$	= 0	•• •	
		Amazon Ads	Best Buy Ads	Best Buy	Computers Sold	2)	H <sub>1</sub> : At Leas	t one of th	ie β′s ≠ 0	
Regression Sta	atistics	5	6		20	4)	P-Value < 0	.01		
Multiple R 0	0.930990256	4	15		15	5)	Reject Ho:	There is a s	significant Linear	
R Square 0	0.866742857	6	6		20		Relationshi	ip between omnuters '	n (Amazon Ads & Best Sold	Buy
Adjusted R Squa	0.82232381	2	4		25	6)	# Compute	rs Sold = 1	. <mark>9.62 + -0.73</mark> *(Amazor	n
Standard Error 1	1.756318902	5	5		23		Ads) – 1.17	*(Best Buy	y Ads)	
Observations	9	4	8		19	1)	$H_o: \beta_1 = \beta_2$	= 0	<b></b>	
		2	12		11	2)	H <sub>1</sub> : At Least	t one of the	eβ′s≠0 1	
ANOVA		5	6		21	4)	F-Test Stat	= <b>19.51</b> > 5	5.14	
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	5)	Reject H <sub>o</sub> : 1 Relationshi	There is a si n between	ignificant Linear Amazon Ads & Best	Buv
Regression	2	120.3809524	4 60.19048	19.512864	0.002366309		Ads) and Co	omputers S	Sold.	,
Residual	6	18.5079365	1 3.084656			6)	# Compute	rs Sold = 19 *(BestBuy	9.62 + -0.73*(Amazon	
Total	8	138.888888	9				Au3) 1.17	(Destbuy		
					1					
Ca	oefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 959	% Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%	DEC	
Intercept 1	19.61904762	3.39497304	4 5.778852	0.0011735	11.31184785	27.9262	5 11.3118479	27.9262474		

0.20992026 -3.47827 0.0131703

0.49971017 2.350569 0.0570141

-1.243815102

-0.048143564

	Ads) – 1.17	*(BestBuy	Ads)
pper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%	RECT
27.92625	11.3118479	27.9262474	
-0.2165	-1.2438151	-0.21650236	
2.39735	-0.04814356	2.39734991	

## Lab 5 Practice ANOVA Lab

**Example:** Best Buy sold their TVs on Black Friday at a discount. The following is a dataset for the numbers of TVs sold based on TV ads, mobiles ads, and magazine ads. With an alpha of 0.05.

TV Ads	Mobile Ads	Magazine Ads
20	42	2
14	33	5
9	15	3
12	9	7
15	24	4

We reject the null hypothesis because our P-value is less than 0.05. (0.006 < 0.05)

Along with our F-critical value being less than our F-table value.



-Manuel Arias (maarias@cpp.edu) -Jakob M (jtmacedo@cpp.edu) -Jasmine Rivas (jarivas@cpp.edu) -Sharar Kamal (skamal@cpp.edu) -Elizabeth Polanco (epolanco@cpp.edu) -Noe Ramirez (noeramirez@cpp.edu)

Anova: Single Factor							
SUMMARY							
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance			
TV Ads	5	70	14	16.5			
Mobile Ads	5	123	24.6	177.3			
Magazine Ads	5	21	4.2	3.7			
ANOVA							
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	Fcrit	
Between Groups	1040.93333	2	520.466667	7.90582278	0.00645249	3.88529383	
Within Groups	790	12	65.8333333				
Total	1830.93333	14					
							_

#### Contributors:

1.	Adrian Carrion
2.	Alex T. Thomas
3.	Arturo Sandoval
4.	Belinda Gallardo
5.	Bilal Elgabalawy
6.	Breeana Berumen
7.	Celenia Perez
8.	Gabriel Chipukites (Chip)
9.	Dgee_11
10.	Emmanuel Rodriguez
11.	Ethan Nguyen
12.	Finn Eckhardt
13.	Isaiah McClenton
14.	Jenson Gomez
15.	Mackenzie Shields
16.	Kevin Su
17.	Khalil Meade
18.	Leslie Osayamwen

19.	Mandy Phan
20.	Marc Schreiber
21.	Mary Gomez
22.	Nina Pangilinan
23.	Noe Ramirez
24.	Omid Ghalambor
25.	Shivani Patel
26.	Talor Hern
27.	William Sim
28.	Xiomara Rodriguez
29.	Chad Norman
30	Yesenia Chavez
31.	Noe Ramirez
32.	Manuel Arias
33.	Michelle Scober
34.	
35.	
36.	