WAHTOKE CREEK BRIDGE TRAFFIC NETWORK EMISSIONS ANALYSIS

Kellogg Honors College Capstone 2011 TIFFANY RIVERA, CIVIL ENGINEERING MENTOR: DR. WEN CHENG



The purpose of this study is to investigate and quantify the relationship among varying traffic volumes, traffic control types, and greenhouse gas emissions in order to mitigate traffic at a proposed construction site.





•Emissions analyzed:

•Control types analyzed:

SITE LOCATION: FRESNO, CA



Figure 1. Wahtoke Creek Traffic Network. The bridge location is marked with a red "X." Source: Google Maps. •Six intersections:

•Jensen Avenue and South Alta Avenue •East Kings Canyon Road and South Alta Avenue •Jensen Avenue and Mt Campbell Avenue •East Kings Canyon Road and Crawford Avenue •Jensen Avenue and Navelencia Avenue •Jensen Avenue and Crawford Avenue

- - •Carbon monoxide (CO) •Nitrogen oxides (NOx)
 - •Volatile organic compounds (VOC)

•Stop •Free

•Yield

The following procedure was implemented for Synchro analysis of the study area:

- 1. Create the traffic network in Synchro based on data observed on Google Maps.
- 2. Assign the different traffic control types to all intersection approaches, e.g.: yield control, stop control, and free control.
- 3. Input traffic volume data (100, 500, or 1000 vehicles per hour for through lanes and 10, 50, or 100 vph, respectively, for turn lanes) at all the intersections.
- 4. Run the simulation for all possible combinations of traffic control measures and traffic volumes. Create reports of simulation outputs containing CO emission, NOx emission, and VOC emission.
- 5. Analyze the outputs in the form of charts to quantify the relationship between gas emissions and various traffic control measures.
 - **Fuel consumption formula (gallons):** Where $k_1 = 0.075283 - 0.0015892 * S + 0.000015066 * S^2$ $k_2 = 0.7329$ $F = TT^*d = k_1 - TD^*k_2 + ST^*k_3$ k₃ = 0.0000061411 * S² **Emissions formulas (grams):** F = fuel consumption in gallons $CO = F^*69.9 \, g/gal$ S = cruise speed in mph TT = vehicle miles traveled *NOx* = *F**13.6 g/gal TD = total signal delay in hours *VOC* = *F**16.2 g/gal ST = total stops in vehicles per hour

RESULTS BY TRAFFIC CONTROL YPF

RESULTS BY TRAFFIC VOLUME

VEHICLES PER HOUR OOOTable 1. 1000 vph Emissions.

	Emission (kg)			
Control Type	CO	NOx	VOC	
Free	6272.01	1220.31	1453.6	
Yield	360.77	70.19	83.16	
Stop	365.41	71.09	84.69	

Network Total 1000 Vehicles Per Hour



FREE CONTROL Table 2. Free Control Emissions

	Emission (kg)			
Traffic Volume				
(vph)	CO	NOx	VOC	
100	628.87	122.35	145.75	
500	3131.38	609.25	725.73	
1000	6272.01	1220.31	1453.6	

Network Total Free Control



STOP CONTROL

Table 3. Stop Control Emissions

	Emission (kg)			
Traffic Volume	60	NOv		
(vpn)		NOX	VUC	
100	5.63	1.09	1.3	
500	70.79	13.77	16.41	
1000	365.41	71.09	84.69	

Network Total Stop Control



Figure 4. Stop Control Emissions vs. Traffic Volume.

Figure 3. Free Control Emissions vs. Traffic Volume



•Greenhouse gas emissions from vehicles consist mostly of •Emissions are proportional to assumed traffic volume. •Intersections with stop control produce slightly higher •Intersections with no traffic control produce much higher carbon monoxide. greenhouse gas emissions than those with yield control. greenhouse gas emissions than those with yield control and •Volatile organic compound emissions are slightly higher than nitrogen oxide emissions. stop control.

RECOMMENDATIONS

•If necessary, free control would be best implemented and/or maintained at intersections with the least amount of existing traffic volume in order to minimize emissions:

•Jensen Avenue and South Alta Avenue

•Jensen Avenue and Mt Campbell Avenue

•Jensen Avenue and Navelencia Avenue

•Either stop control or yield control would be appropriate at intersections with higher existing traffic volumes:

> •East Kings Canyon Road and South Alta Avenue •East Kings Canyon Road and Crawford Avenue •Jensen Avenue and Crawford Avenue