California State Polytechnic Unive	ersity, Pomona	
Academic Senate Response to M Policy 1605 – Grade Appeals Polic		by the President's Response to AA-2804-189-AA,
Academic Senate Action:		
Adopted:		September 23, 2020
Final Disposition:		
Transmitted to President:		October 2, 2020

2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Academic Senate recommends approval of Policy 1605 as revised by the Academic Affairs Committee. The revision addresses the modifications requested by the President's Response to AS-2804-189-AA plus includes additional corrections to the policy.

Academic Senate Response to Modifications requested by the President's Response to AA-2804-189-AA, Policy 1605 – Grade Appeals Policy

Table of Contents

3

AS-2804-189-AA President's Response Requesting Modifications (includes attachments)	4
Academic Affairs Committee Responses to Requested Modifications	25
Clean Policy 1605 as proposed by Academic Affairs Committee	26
Matrix of Requested Changes vs. Original Language vs. AA Response	28



Office of the President

Memorandum

DATE:

December 18, 2019

TO:

Phyllis Nelson

Chair, Academic Senate

FROM:

Soraya M. Coley, Ph.D.

President



SUBJECT:

Response to Senate Report AS-2804-AA, Grade Appeal Policy – Policy

1605

I support the recommendation of the Academic Senate's *revised* **AS-2804-189-AA**, **Grade Appeal Policy.** I support and request the modifications as attached.

I thank the Academic Senate for the work devoted to revising the policy.

Copy: Terri Gomez

Deans

Associate Deans Department Chairs Sep Eskandari



Office of the Provost and VP for Academic Affairs

Memorandum

DATE:

December 18, 2019

TO:

Soraya Coley

President

VIA:

Sylvia Alva

Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

FROM:

S. Terri Gomez

AVP Student Success

SUBJECT:

Response to Senate Report AS-2804-189-AA Grade Appeal Policy

I thank the Academic Senate for their efforts on the referral concerning the campus grade appeals policy. The intent behind the referral was to safeguard a student's ability to graduate in a timely manner or alternatively, to ensure petitioning students were able to enroll in sequence courses while their appeal is under review.

The attached revised policy, Policy 1605, will set in motion an overall sequence of procedures that will allow the Grade Appeal Committee to complete their review of submitted appeals before grades post. This allows sufficient time to request additional information in the event further investigation is necessary.



CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA POLICY NO: 1605

POLICY ON GRADE APPEALS

Under the provisions of Executive Order 1037, "Grading Symbols, Minimum Standards Governing the Assignment of Grades, Policies on the Repetition of Courses, Polices on Academic Renewal, and Grade Appeals" and the University's "Statement of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Grievance Procedures," students may appeal grades that they consider to be unfair.

The Executive Order governs the assignment of grades by faculty and requires an appeal procedure to ensure that the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students are properly recognized and protected. Occasionally, a circumstance will prevent assignment of an earned grade or will cause an assigned grade to be questioned by a student.

The following policy has been adopted by Cal Poly Pomona to provide the mechanism to deal with such unusual occurrences:

- Course grades assigned by instructors are presumed to be correct. It is the responsibility of the student, who appeals an assigned grade to demonstrate clerical error, prejudice, or capriciousness in the assignment of the grade, or that a reasonable accommodation for a documented disability was requested and not appropriately provided; otherwise, the judgment of the instructor is final.
- A student who believes that a course grade has been assigned inappropriately must follow the proper steps in the appeal process, observing the time limits for completion of various steps in the process as follows:

Step 1a: The student should contact faculty/instructor in writing within the first five weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade. Note: If the grade is assigned in the spring semester, the student should follow these procedures in the following fall semester. If the instructor is on leave, on sabbatical, or is not currently on the faculty including FERP faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the student. ¹

If a grade has been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the error by submitting a Grade Change Request via the online grading system.

AS-2806-189-AA Supersedes: AS-2704-167-AA Adopted by Academic Senate: 2018-11-07

Approved by President Soraya M. Coley: 2019-12-20

¹ The grade appeal process is suspended during the summer term when fewer students and faculty members are expected to be on campus. The grade appeal process is also suspended if the faculty member is on leave or on sabbatical. Thus, for spring semester, "the following semester" will be the following fall semester. For appeals of summer term grades, the following semester is the following fall semester. For appeals when the faculty member is either on leave or on sabbatical "the following semester" is the semester the faculty member returns to CPP.



- Step 1b: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor and the student intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later than the fifth week of the following semester. In most cases, the student will appeal to the chair of the academic department that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the student should appeal to the dean of the college that offered the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond to the student, usually within two weeks.
- Step 2: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal with supporting documentation no later than Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.
- Step 3: The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal Committee will forward the student's statement to the instructor. The instructor will submit a written response within 2 weeks in writing. The student's statement and the instructor's response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's response.
 - The Committee will take one of the following actions:
 - a. Request additional information from the student and/or the instructor.
 - b. If the University Course Grade Appeal Committee finds that the student has grounds for complaint based on discrimination, caprice, or clerical error, then the instructor of record will be asked to reevaluate the grade. If the instructor refuses to reevaluate the grade or the instructor's reevaluation results in the same grade, then the chair of the academic department that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a department chair, the dean of the college that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a dean, the provost shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade.
 - c. Recommend to the instructor that the grade be maintained as given.
 - d. Call for a formal hearing.
- Step 4: When the Committee has made its recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement. This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to complete. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is final; there is no higher level of appeal.

Additional information on preparing a written grade appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA POLICY NO: 1605* GRADE APPEALS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Under the provisions of Executive Order 1037, "Grading Symbols, Minimum Standards Governing the Assignment of Grades, Policies on the Repetition of Courses, Polices on Academic Renewal, and Grade Appeals" and the University's "Statement of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Grievance Procedures," students may appeal grades that they consider to be unfair.

The Executive Order governs the assignment of grades by faculty and requires an appeal procedure to ensure that the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students are properly recognized and protected. Occasionally, a circumstance will prevent assignment of an earned grade or will cause an assigned grade to be questioned by a student.

The following policy has been adopted by Cal Poly Pomona to provide the mechanism to deal with such unusual occurrences:

- Course grades assigned by instructors are presumed to be correct. It is the responsibility of the student, who appeals an assigned grade to demonstrate clerical error, prejudice, or capriciousness in the assignment of the grade, or that a reasonable accommodation for a documented disability was requested and not appropriately provided; otherwise, the judgment of the instructor is final.
- A student who believes that a course grade has been assigned inappropriately must follow the
 proper steps in the appeal process, observing the time limits for completion of various steps in
 the process as follows:

Step 1a: The student should communicate in writingspeak face-to-face with the instructor during the first five weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade. Note: If the grade is assigned in the spring semester, the student should follow these procedures in the following fall semester. If the instructor is on leave, on sabbatical, or is not currently on the faculty including FERP faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the student.^{4 2}

If a grade has been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the error by submitting a Grade Change Request via the online grading system.

Step 1b2: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor and the student intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later than the fifthseventh week of the following semester. In most cases, the student will appeal to the chair of the academic department that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.56"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.56"

AS-2806-189-AA Supersedes: AS-2704-167-AA Adopted by Academic Senate: 2018-11-07 Approved by President Soraya M. Coley: 2019-02-13

¹-Revised AY 2016-2017

² The grade appeal process is suspended during the summer term when fewer students and faculty members are expected to be on campus. The grade appeal process is also suspended if the faculty member is on leave or on sabbatical. Thus, for spring semester, "the following semester" will be the following fall semester. For appeals of summer term grades, the following semester is the following fall semester. For appeals when the faculty member is either on leave or on sabbatical "the following semester" is the semester the faculty member returns to CPP.

AS-2804-189-AA, Request to Modify the Grade Appeal Policy (1605)

student should appeal to the dean of the college that offered the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond to the student, usually within two weeks.

Step 23: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal with supporting documentation no later than Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5"

Step 34: The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal Committee will forward the student's statement to the instructor. The instructor will submit a written response within 2 weeks in writing. The student's statement and the instructor's response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's response.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri

Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 +

- __The Committee will take one of the following actions:
 - a. Request additional information from the student and/or the instructor.
 - b. If the University Course Grade Appeal Committee finds that the student has grounds for complaint based on discrimination, caprice, or clerical error, then the instructor of record will be asked to reevaluate the grade. If the instructor refuses to reevaluate the grade or the instructor's reevaluation results in the same grade, then the chair of the academic department that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a department chair, the dean of the college that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a dean, the provost shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade.
 - Recommend to the instructor that the grade be maintained as given.
 - d. Call for a formal hearing.

Step 45: When the Committee has made its recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement. This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to complete. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is final; there is no higher level of appeal.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5"

Additional information on preparing a written grade appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.

AS-2804-189-AA, Request to Modify the Grade Appeal Policy (1605)

WEEK	SEMESTER-1	SEMESTER 2			Formatted Table	
			Campus			
		Appeal-Timeline	Deadlines	•	Formatted Table	
1	<u>+</u>					
2		Step 1: Student communicates in writing with instructor within the first 5 weeks.	4			
3	20		4			
4						
5						
6		Step 2: If grading issue is not resolved, appeal to Chair (or Dean), no later than the 7th week of the semester.			Formatted Table	
7						
8		Step 3: Student may submit a written statement within 10 working days to the Grade Appeals Committee. The formal grade appeal should be submitted no later than the Friday of	-			
9		the 7th week of the semester term.	-			
10	_	Step 4: Grade Appeal Committee requests response from	-			
11	2	course instructor (2 weeks to respond)	-			
12		Committee Process: Appeal review-scheduled-within 2 weeks of Instructor's response, subject to availability of committee				
13		members. Members include faculty and student representatives. Appeals are not reviewed during the Summer term.				
14	1	Committee meeting held				
15		Gommittee-meeting-held- Grade-Appeal Process-Recommendation-Occurs	•			
16	Finals	2 3 3				
17	Grades Posted					
-1						

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single



3801 West Temple Avenue, Pomona, CA 91768 I 909.869.2290

Office of the Student Affairs

Memorandum

DATE:

June 19, 2019

TO:

Academic Senate Executive Committee

FROM:

S Terri Gomez

AVP. Student Success

SUBJECT:

AS-2804-189-AA, Grade Appeal Policy correction

I thank the Academic Senate for their efforts on the referral concerning the campus grade appeals policy. The guiding intent behind the referral was to safeguard a student's ability to graduate in a timely manner or alternatively, to ensure petitioning students were able to enroll in sequence courses while their appeal is under review. The approved revised semester timeline established the first three weeks of the term for the student to appeal their grade to their Instructor. This requirement would set in motion an overall sequence of procedures that will allow the Grade Appeal Committee to complete their review of submitted appeals before grades post. This will allow sufficient time to request additional information in the event further investigation is necessary.

The grade appeal policy adopted by the Senate on November 7, 2018, contains areas that require correction.

The approved Senate language should read in Step 1:

Step 1a: The student should speak face-to-face with the instructor during the first five weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade.

Additionally, we are requesting to change the reference to "Step 2" so that it states "Step 1b" in the policy. These changes will hold the student to the first 5 weeks of the semester to resolve the grade issue, as adopted by the Academic Senate in the 11/7/2018 session.

We ask to have "Step 3" in the policy changed to "Step 2" so that the appeal timeline is clear, and number the subsequent steps accordingly.

The language in step 2 should read as follows:

If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal with supporting documentation no later than the Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.

Furthermore, because the timeline matrix was submitted as an example, it should be removed in order to simplify the process and avoid confusion.

This response supersedes the policy changes made in AS-2704-167-AA. These corrections are reflected in the revised grade appeal policy, number 1605 (AS-2804-189-AA) approved by the Senate on November 7, 2018 (see attached policy 1605 with track changes).

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA POLICY NO: 1605* GRADE APPEALS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Under the provisions of Executive Order 1037, "Grading Symbols, Minimum Standards Governing the Assignment of Grades, Policies on the Repetition of Courses, Polices on Academic Renewal, and Grade Appeals" and the University's "Statement of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Grievance Procedures," students may appeal grades that they consider to be unfair.

The Executive Order governs the assignment of grades by faculty and requires an appeal procedure to ensure that the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students are properly recognized and protected. Occasionally, a circumstance will prevent assignment of an earned grade or will cause an assigned grade to be questioned by a student.

The following policy has been adopted by Cal Poly Pomona to provide the mechanism to deal with such unusual occurrences:

- Course grades assigned by instructors are presumed to be correct. It is the responsible
 student, who appeals an assigned grade to demonstrate clerical error, prejudice, or
 capriciousness in the assignment of the grade, or that a reasonable accommodation if
 documented disability was requested and not appropriately provided; otherwise, the
 of the instructor is final.
- A student who believes that a course grade has been assigned inappropriately must f
 proper steps in the appeal process, observing the time limits for completion of variou
 the process as follows:

 Was in the timeline and the policy
 language in the report was NOT updated

Step 1a: The student should speak face-to-face with the instructor during the first five weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade. Note: If the grade is assigned in the spring semester, the student should follow these procedures in the following fall semester. If the instructor is on leave, on sabbatical, or is not currently on the faculty including FERP faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the student.¹²

If a grade has been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the error by submitting a Grade Change Request via the online grading system.

Step 1h; If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor and the student intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later than the fifth week of the following semester. In most cases, the student will appeal to the chair of the academic department that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the student

The language adopted by the Academic Senate was "The student should communicate in writing with the instructor during the first five weeks...(this language was in the timeline and the policy language in the report was NOT updated to match)

Deleted: communicate in writing

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.56"

Adopted timeline stated 7th week

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.56"

Deleted: seventh

AS-2806-189-AA Supersedes: AS-2704-167-AA Adopted by Academic Senate: 2018-11-07 Approved by President Soraya M. Coley: 2019-02-13

¹ Revised AY 2016-2017

² The grade appeal process is suspended during the summer term when fewer students and faculty members are expected to be on campus. The grade appeal process is also suspended if the faculty member is on leave or on sabbatical. Thus, for spring semester, "the following semester" will be the following fall semester. For appeals of summer term grades, the following semester is the following fall semester. For appeals when the faculty member is either on leave or on sabbatical "the following semester" is the semester the faculty member returns to CPP.

AS-2804-189-AA, Request to Modify the Grade Appeal Policy (1605)

should appeal to the dean of the college that offered the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will dine the instructor and respond to the student, usually within two weeks.

adopted report

Step 2: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal with supporting documentation no later than Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.

Deleted: <u>3</u>
Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5"

Step 3: The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal Committee will forward the student's statement to the instructor. The instructor will submit a written response within 2 weeks in writing. The student's statement and the instructor's response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's response.

Deleted: 4

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5"

The Committee will take one of the following actions:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri

Request additional information from the student and/or the instructor.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

- b. If the University Course Grade Appeal Committee finds that the student has grounds for complaint based on discrimination, caprice, or clerical error, then the instructor of record will be asked to reevaluate the grade. If the instructor refuses to reevaluate the grade or the instructor's reevaluation results in the same grade, then the chair of the academic department that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a department chair, the dean of the college that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a dean, the provost shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade.
- Recommend to the instructor that the grade be maintained as given.
- d. Call for a formal hearing.

Step 4: When the Committee has made its recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement. This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to complete. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is final; there is no higher level of appeal.

Deleted: <u>5</u>
Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.5*

Additional information on preparing a written grade appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.

GRADE APPEAL TIMELINE

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
Formatted Table

Formatted Table

Deleted: 1

Page 2 of 3

AS-2806-189-AA Supersedes: AS-2704-167-AA Adopted by Academic Senate: 2018-11-07 Approved by President Soraya M. Coley:

Valerie Otto

From:

Valerie Otto

Sent:

Wednesday, February 6, 2019 9:38 AM

To:

Diane R Gonzalez

Subject:

Grade Appeal Policy 1605

Attachments:

Grade_Appeal_Policy_Wording_and_Timeline.docx

This is a good one...

I have attached what is the correct timeline with the correct wording from what was adopted at the Academic Senate Meeting on November 7, 2018. The original policy wording in the report **did NOT** correspond to the timeline. Here is the discussion from the minutes:

The current Grade Appeal Policy did not have clear timelines. The only difference between the first reading and the second reading is that the initial time for the student to contact the instructor has been extended to five (5) weeks and that communication should be in writing.

There was some concern that the following language in step 3 of the process "the formal grade appeal should be submitted *during the 6th week, but no later than the Friday of the 7th week of the semester term*" is confusing and maybe should be reworded. Dr. Gomez, Associate Vice President for Student Success, responded that the language could easily be changed. She stated that the original timeline was late in the term that faculty would be meeting on break to consider the appeals.

M/s to change the sentence to as follows "the formal grade appeal should be submitted during the 6th week, but no later than the Friday of the 7th week of the semester term".

So according to Chair Shen here is what needs to happen:

- The response needs to contain both the language and the timeline, stating that the policy language has been updated to reflect the policy.
- Dr. Gomez's following response "If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal no later than the 6th semester week but no later than the Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success." Is correct. That was mistakenly left out of the originally report submitted but has been included in the attached file.
- The response should state that this supersedes the policy changes made if AS-2704-167-AA translated ...
- Any date changes to the timeline are considered modifications from the adopted Academic Senate Report and will come back to the Executive Committee for review, examples of modifications from the draft response are:
 - Step 1 Student speaks to instructor within the first 3 weeks (approved timeline has 5 weeks)
 - Step 2 If grading issue is not resolved, appeal to the Chair (or Dean), no later than the 5th week of the semester (approved timeline has 7th week)
- Response should not contain dates or terms, it should be generic and these items would be filled in when the timeline is in use

I don't know if this clarifies anything or makes it more difficult, but I hope it helps.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions,

Val Otto

Administrative Analyst

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA POLICY NO: 1605* GRADE APPEALS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Under the provisions of Executive Order 1037, "Grading Symbols, Minimum Standards Governing the Assignment of Grades, Policies on the Repetition of Courses, Polices on Academic Renewal, and Grade Appeals" and the University's "Statement of Student Rights, Responsibilities, and Grievance Procedures," students may appeal grades that they consider to be unfair.

The Executive Order governs the assignment of grades by faculty and requires an appeal procedure to ensure that the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students are properly recognized and protected. Occasionally, a circumstance will prevent assignment of an earned grade or will cause an assigned grade to be questioned by a student.

The following policy has been adopted by Cal Poly Pomona to provide the mechanism to deal with such unusual occurrences:

- Course grades assigned by instructors are presumed to be correct. It is the responsibility of the student who appeals an assigned grade to demonstrate clerical error, prejudice, or capriciousness in the assignment of the grade, or that a reasonable accommodation for a documented disability was requested and not appropriately provided; otherwise, the judgment of the instructor is final.
- A student who believes that a course grade has been assigned inappropriately must follow the
 proper steps in the appeal process, observing the time limits for completion of various steps in
 the process as follows:

Step 1: The student should speak face to face communicate in writing with the instructor during the first three five weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade. If a face to face appointment cannot be arranged, the student should attempt to communicate with the instructor by phone, e-mail or fax during the same time period. Note: If the grade is assigned in the spring semester, the student should follow these procedures in the following fall semester. If the instructor is on leave, on sabbatical, or is not currently on the faculty including FERP faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the student. 12

If a grade has been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the error by submitting a Grade Change Request via the online grading system.

<u>Step 2:</u> If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor and the student intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later than the

¹ Revised AY 2016-2017

² The grade appeal process is suspended during the summer term when fewer students and faculty members are expected to be on campus. The grade appeal process is also suspended if the faculty member is on leave or on sabbatical. Thus, for spring semester, "the following semester" will be the following fall semester. For appeals of summer term grades, the following semester is the following fall semester. For appeals when the faculty member is either on leave or on sabbatical "the following semester" is the semester the faculty member returns to CPP.

fifth-seventh week of the following semester. In most cases, the student will appeal to the chair of the academic department that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the student should appeal to the dean of the college that offered the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond to the student, usually within two weeks.

Step 3: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, the student may submit a formal grade appeal no later than the 6th semester week but no later than the no later than Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.

Step 4: The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal Committee will forward the student's statement to the instructor. The instructor will submit a written response within 2 weeks inweeks in writing. The student's statement and the instructor's response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's response.

The Committee will take one of the following actions:

- a. Request additional information from the student and/or the instructor.
- b. If the University Course Grade Appeal Committee finds that the student has grounds for complaint based on discrimination, caprice, or clerical error, then the instructor of record will be asked to reevaluate the grade. If the instructor refuses to reevaluate the grade or the instructor's reevaluation results in the same grade, then the chair of the academic department that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a department chair, the dean of the college that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade. If the instructor is a dean, the provost shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor of record to evaluate the student's work and assign a grade.
- c. Recommend to the instructor that the grade be maintained as given.
- d. Call for a formal hearing.

<u>Step 5:</u> When the Committee has made its recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement. This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to complete. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is final; there is no higher level of appeal.

Additional information on preparing a written grade appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.

Commented [VO1]: This was a change made per the minutes of the November 7, 2018 Academic Senate Meeting, but does not line up with the adopted timeline which states that the student has until week 7 to appeal to the department chair.

GRADE APPEAL TIMELINE

WEEK	SEMESTER 1	SEMESTER 2			
		Appeal Timeline	Campus Deadlines		
1		Step 1: Student communicates in writing with instructor			
2		within the first 5 weeks.			
3		Manager and States			
4					
5					
6		Step 2: If grading issue is not resolved, appeal to Chair (or Dean), no later than the 7th week of the semester.			
7					
8		Step 3: Student may submit a written statement within 10 working days to the Grade Appeals Committee. The formal grade appeal should be submitted during the 8th week, but no			
9		later than the Friday of the 9th-7th week of the semester term.			
10		Step 4: Grade Appeal Committee requests response from			
11		course instructor (2 weeks to respond)			
12		Committee Process: Appeal review scheduled within 2 weeks of Instructor's response, subject to availability of committee members. Members include faculty and student			
13		representatives. Appeals are not reviewed during the Summer term.			
14		Committee meeting held.			
15		Grade Appeal Process Recommendation Occurs			
16	Finals				
17	Grades Posted				

Commented [VO2]: Change was made during 11/7/18 Academic Senate Meeting

Diane R Gonzalez

From:

Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Sent:

Wednesday, December 18, 2019 5:00 PM

To: Cc: Diane R Gonzalez S. Terri Gomez

Subject:

FW: Grade Appeal Notes

Importance:

High

Hello Diane,

Listed (and chained) below are the notes from Dr. Nelson (by way of Cecilia) for changes in the grade appeal policy.

Grade appeal - President's response

- student contact faculty in writing within the 5 weeks
- Step 2: add supported documentation
- Separate 1 clarify that the timeline within the 5th week
- Request timeline to reflect language in policy in step 1B

Sincerely,

Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Student Success Analyst Pronouns: She/her/hers Office of Student Success T 909-869-3851

♦ One Team ♦ One Goal ♦ Student Success ♦

From: S. Terri Gomez <stgomez@cpp.edu> Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2019 12:49 PM

To: Yvonne Estrada-Perez <estradaperez@cpp.edu>

Cc: Diane R Gonzalez <dianeg@cpp.edu>

Subject: FW: Grade Appeal Notes

Importance: High

Let's discuss ASAP. Phyllis needs immediate corrections to the policy which we can do through President response. I want you to draft and send back to Phyllis for review.

S. Terri Gomez, PhD

Associate Vice President, Student Success
Office of Student Success | Division of Academic Affairs

Cal Poly Pomona

3801 W. Temple Ave. | Pomona, CA 91768 T (909) 869-2895 | stgomez@cpp.edu www.cpp.edu/studentsuccess



♦ One Team ♦ One Goal ♦ Student Success ♦

From: Cecilia Santiago-Gonzalez < csantiago@cpp.edu>

Date: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 at 4:33 PM

To: Terri Gomez < stgomez@cpp.edu>

Subject: Grade Appeal Notes

Grade appeal - President's response

- student contact faculty in writing within the 5 weeks
- Step 2: add supported documentation
- Separate 1 clarify that the timeline within the 5th week
- Request timeline to reflect language in policy in step 1B

Dr. Cecilia Santiago-Gonzalez Director, Strategic Initiatives for Student Success

Sent from my iPad. Please excuse the brevity and any typos.

Diane R Gonzalez

From:

Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Sent:

Wednesday, December 18, 2019 4:44 PM

To:

S. Terri Gomez; Diane R Gonzalez

Subject:

FW: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA

Attachments:

Draft Memo re Grade Appeal Policy (Dr. Gomez to President)

Hello Terri,

Per your request, attached is the email where I provided a draft memo from you to the President (Diane approved the content of this draft).

On this same email, I indicated that Dr. Nelson had approved the 2 line draft content in person.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Student Success Analyst Pronouns: She/her/hers Office of Student Success T 909-869-3851

♦ One Team ♦ One Goal ♦ Student Success ♦

From: Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 1:53 PM

To: S. Terri Gomez <stgomez@cpp.edu>; Diane R Gonzalez <dianeg@cpp.edu>

Subject: RE: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA

Hello Terri,

I've attached the email with the GA draft memo for your reference.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Estrada-Perez

Student Success Analyst Pronouns: She/her/hers Office of Student Success T 909-869-3851

♦ One Team ♦ One Goal ♦ Student Success ♦

From: S. Terri Gomez < stgomez@cpp.edu > Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 1:50 PM
To: Diane R Gonzalez < dianeg@cpp.edu >

Cc: Yvonne Estrada-Perez <estradaperez@cpp.edu>

Subject: Re: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA

S. Terri Gomez, PhD

Associate Vice President, Student Success
Office of Student Success | Division of Academic Affairs

Cal Poly Pomona

3801 W. Temple Ave. | Pomona, CA 91768
T (909) 869-2895 | stgomez@cpp.edu
www.cpp.edu/studentsuccess



♦ One Team ♦ One Goal ♦ Student Success ♦

From: Diane R Gonzalez < dianeg@cpp.edu>

Date: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 at 1:47 PM

To: Terri Gomez <stgomez@cpp.edu>

Cc: Yvonne Estrada-Perez <estradaperez@cpp.edu>

Subject: RE: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA

Dear Terri,

Do you have the response for AS-2804-189-AA Request to Modify the Grad Appeals Policy 1605? I am trying to get all the outstanding Academic Senate reports completed before the end of the year.

Thank you

Díane R. Gonzalez,

Executive Assistant to the Provost & VP Academic Affairs, and Staff Senator, Academic Senate

California State Polytechnic Univ., Pomona 3801 W. Temple Ave, Pomona, CA 91768 https://www.cpp.edu/~academic-affairs/



From: S. Terri Gomez < stgomez@cpp.edu > Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2019 12:46 PM

To: Diane R Gonzalez < dianeg@cpp.edu >; Keith M Forward < kmforward@cpp.edu >

Cc: Yvonne Estrada-Perez < estradaperez@cpp.edu >; Sepehr Eskandari < seskandari@cpp.edu >

Subject: Re: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA and AS-2830-189-AA

Pulling in Erin as she has been communicating with Phyllis about the Academic Standing document. I need to make the edits suggested by Phyllis.

T

S. Terri Gomez, PhD

Associate Vice President, Student Success
Office of Student Success | Division of Academic Affairs

Cal Poly Pomona

3801 W. Temple Ave. | Pomona, CA 91768 T (909) 869-2895 | stgomez@cpp.edu www.cpp.edu/studentsuccess



�One Team �One Goal �Student Success �

From: Diane R Gonzalez < dianeg@cpp.edu > Date: Tuesday, December 3, 2019 at 12:43 PM

To: Keith M Forward kmforward@cpp.edu, Terri Gomez kmforward@cpp.edu>

Cc: Yvonne Estrada-Perez < estradaperez@cpp.edu>, Sepehr Eskandari < esekandari@cpp.edu>

Subject: FW: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA and AS-2830-189-AA

Dear Keith and Terri,

The last information on the two Academic Senate documents, Grade Appeal Policy Memo and the Academic Standing Policy 1430 & 1431, is the email from Casandra below.

Diane R. Gonzalez,
Executive Assistant to the

Provost & VP Academic Affairs, and

Staff Senator, Academic Senate

California State Polytechnic Univ., Pomona 3801 W. Temple Ave, Pomona, CA 91768 https://www.cpp.edu/~academic-affairs/



From: Casandra L. Horner <<u>clhorner@cpp.edu</u>> Sent: Monday, September 23, 2019 3:49 PM To: Diane R Gonzalez <<u>dianeg@cpp.edu</u>>

Subject: Updates for AS-2804-189-AA and AS-2830-189-AA

Hi Diane,

AS-2804-189-AA Grade Appeal Policy Memo

The Executive Committee discussed AS-2804-189-AA on August 28, 2019. Chair Nelson agreed to meet with Dr. S. Terri Gomez regarding the President's response to correct the Grade Appeal Policy 1605.

AS-2830-189-AA Academic Standing Policy 1430 and 1431

The Executive Committee discussed AS-2830-189-AA on August 28, 2019. The motion was to send AS-2830-189-AA back to the Academic Affairs Committee due to major edits to the document.

Best, Casandra

Casandra L. Horner, MAOM

Administrative Support Coordinator I – The Academic Senate Office Administrative Support – The Academic Planning Office California State Polytechnic University, Pomona 3801 West Temple Avenue, Pomona, CA 91768 Work: 909.869.2193 Email: clhorner@cpp.edu





Academic Affairs Committee Response to the comments from the Office of the President

Step 1A: we did not change the statement from in writing to face-to-face. Given the challenges COVID presents, and the difficulty this creates for recent graduates, active duty military, and study abroad students, and the difficulty of providing evidence of face-to-face contact, in writing seemed more appropriate. We also shortened the time to first 4 weeks to accommodate the changes to the time requested to the time line in step 1b (now step 2).

We prefer the five steps to step 1 a and 1b. It confused us. We felt simplicity is essential right now.

Step 1B- Step 2- We accepted 5th week but changed it to fifth full week to avoid confusion in fall. Fall remains insanely confusing even to me after almost 20 years here. I truly wish we could just start on a Monday. However, as noted we adjusted Step 1A because it seemed impossible otherwise.

Endnote change is acceptable. It is simply a date update.

Step 3- we adjusted the language to refer to explicit senate policy, rather than "with documentation." We felt the request was appropriate but perhaps still did not provide enough guidance. Hence, we edited the statement instead to refer directly to the policies in play for additional guidance. We ask the senate to insert the correct Policy number when it is formally approved.

Step 5- we added the statement "However, if there is an allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to the Provost or their designee." Because as it turns out, this is appropriate. Concern was raised that if someone on the committee violates procedures, students should be aware that they have some recourse.

We agree with the removal of the problematic and confusing chart. Thank you. Simplicity!!!!

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA POLICY NO. 1605¹: GRADE APPEALS POLICY AND PROCEDURE

Under the provisions of Executive Order 1037, "Grading Symbols, Minimum Standards Governing the Assignment of Grades, Policies on the Repetition of Courses, Polices on Academic Renewal, and Grade Appeals", students may appeal grades that they consider to be unfair.

The Executive Order governs the assignment of grades by faculty and requires an appeal procedure to ensure that the rights and responsibilities of faculty and students are properly recognized and protected. Occasionally, a circumstance will prevent assignment of an earned grade or will cause an assigned grade to be questioned by a student.

The following policy has been adopted by Cal Poly Pomona to provide the mechanism to deal with such unusual occurrences:

- Course grades assigned by instructors are presumed to be correct. It is the responsibility
 of the student who appeals an assigned grade to demonstrate 1) clerical error; 2)
 capriciousness in the assignment of the grade; 3) that a reasonable accommodation for a
 documented disability was requested and not appropriately provided; or 4) that the grade
 was assigned in violation of the Systemwide Policy Prohibiting Discrimination,
 Harassment & Retaliation, Sexual Misconduct, Dating & Domestic Violence, & Stalking
 Against Students². Otherwise, the judgment of the instructor is final.
- A student who believes that a course grade has been assigned inappropriately must take action during the semester following assignment of the grade³, unless they allege that the grade was assigned in violation of the Systemwide Policy Prohibiting Discrimination, Harassment & Retaliation, Sexual Misconduct, Dating & Domestic Violence, & Stalking Against Students, in which case action should be taken after resolution of the systemwide procedure for handling such complaints². Students must follow the proper steps in the appeal process, observing the time limits for completion of various steps in the process as follows:

Step 1: The student should communicate with the instructor in writing during the first 4 full weeks of the semester following the assignment of the grade. If the instructor is not currently on the faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the student. If a grade has been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the error by submitting a change of grade request to the Registrar's Office Grade Change Request via the online grading system.

Step 2: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor, and the student still intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later than the fifth full week of the same semester. In most cases, the student should appeal to the chair of the academic department that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the student should appeal to the dean of the college that offered the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond to the student, usually within two weeks, but no later than the end of the 6th full week of the semester.

¹ Revised AY 2020-2021

² Executive Order 1097 or its successor.

³ The grade appeal process is suspended during the summer term when fewer students and faculty members are expected to be on campus. Thus, grade appeals for both spring and summer grades should take place during fall semester. The grade appeal process is also suspended while a faculty member is on leave or sabattical, and should instead take place the first semester that the faculty member is back.

Step 3: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the response from this second level of appeal, they may submit a formal grade appeal no later than the end of the 7th full week of the same semester to the University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success. The University Course Grade Appeal Committee will be formed according to the procedures set in Policy No. XXXX, and will include student representation. This committee will handle grade appeals in a manner compliant with FERPA and HIPAA regulations. Additional information on preparing a written grade appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.

<u>Step 4:</u> The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal Committee will forward the student's statement to the instructor as soon as possible. The instructor will be asked to respond in writing by a specified date (normally within two weeks). The student's statement and the instructor's response will then be reviewed by the entire Grade Appeal committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's response.

The Committee will take one of the following actions:

- a. Request additional information from the student and/or the instructor.
- b. If the University Course Grade Appeal Committee finds that the student has grounds for complaint based on clerical error, caprice, not receiving reasonable accommodation for a documented disability, or a violation of the Systemwide Policy Prohibiting Discrimination, Harassment & Retaliation, Sexual Misconduct, Dating & Domestic Violence, & Stalking Against Students, then the instructor of record will be asked to reevaluate the grade. If the instructor refuses to reevaluate the grade or the instructor's reevaluation does not meet the expectations of the committee, then the chair of the academic department that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member with academic training comparable to the instructor is a department chair, the dean of the college that offered the class shall be asked to find a qualified faculty member to complete this task; and if the instructor is a dean, the Provost will be asked to find a qualified faculty member to complete this task.
- c. Recommend to the instructor that the grade be maintained as given.
- d. Call for a formal hearing.

<u>Step 5:</u> When the Committee has made its recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement. This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to complete, and therefore should finish by the end of the 15th week. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is final; there is no higher level of appeal. However, if there is an allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to the Provost or their designee.

The University Course Grade Appeal Committee will submit a report detailing the number and disposition of cases heard to the President and the Academic Senate annually.

Commented [NB1]: EC to fill in the blank.

Modification Requested	Adopted Report Language	Committee Comments	Committee Adjusted Policy
Step 1a: The student should speak face-to-face with	Step 1: the student should communicate in writing	The committee did not change the statement from in writing to	Step 1: The student should communicate with the instructor in
the instructor the first five weeks of the semester	with the instructor during the first five weeks of the	face-to-face. Given the challenges COVID presents, and the	writing during the first 4 full weeks of the semester following the
following the assignment of the grade. Note: If the	semester following the assignment of the grade.	difficulty this creates for recent graduates, active duty military,	assignment of the grade. If the instructor is not currently on the
grade is assigned in the spring semester, the student	Note: If the grade is assigned in the spring semester,	and study abroad students, and the difficulty of providing	faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall attempt to
should follow these procedures in the following fall	the student should follow these procedures in the	evidence of face-to-face contact, in writing seemed more	contact the instructor on behalf of the student. If a grade has
semester. If the instructor is on leave, on sabbatical,	following fall semester. If the instructor is on leave,	appropriate. The committee shortened the time to first 4 weeks	been assigned in error, the instructor can quickly correct the
or is not currently on the faculty including FERP	on sabbatical, or is not currently on the faculty	to accommodate the changes to the time requested to the	error by submitting a change of grade request to the Registrar's
faculty at the time of the appeal, the University shall	including FERP faculty at the time of the appeal, the	timeline in step 1b (now step 2).	Office Grade Change Request via the online grading system.
attempt to contact the instructor on behalf of the	University shall attempt to contact the instructor on		
student. 1	behalf of the student. ¹²	The committee prefers five steps to step 1 a and 1b. It confused	
		us. We felt simplicity is essential right now.	
		Forderstands on a constability this simple and the condition	
Step 1b: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the	Step 2: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the	Endnote change is acceptable. It is simply a date update.	Step 2: If the grade dispute is not resolved with the instructor,
·	instructor and the student intends to appeal the	The committee accepted 5 th week but changed it to fifth full week to avoid confusion in fall since fall starts mid-week.	and the student still intends to appeal the grade, the student
instructor and the student intends to appeal the grade, the student must appeal to the next level as	grade, the student must appeal to the next level as	However, as noted we adjusted Step 1 because it seemed	must appeal to the next level as soon as possible, but no later
soon as possible, but no later than the fifth week of	soon as possible, but no later than the seventh week	impossible otherwise.	than the fifth full week of the same semester. In most cases, the
the following semester. In most cases, the student	of the following semester. In most cases, the student	impossible otherwise.	student should appeal to the chair of the academic department
will appeal to the chair of the academic department	will appeal to the chair of the academic department	Changed from step 1b to step 2.	that offered the class. If the instructor is a department chair, the
that offered the class. If the instructor is a	that offered the class. If the instructor is a	and the state of t	student should appeal to the dean of the college that offered
department chair, the student should appeal to the	department chair, the student should appeal to the		the class. If the instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to
dean of the college that offered the class. If the	dean of the college that offered the class. If the		the Provost. The person to whom the student appealed will
instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the	instructor is a dean, the student should appeal to the		discuss the issue with the instructor and respond to the student,
Provost. The person to whom the student appealed	Provost. The person to whom the student appealed		usually within two weeks, but no later than the end of the 6th
will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond	will discuss the issue with the instructor and respond		full week of the semester.
to the student, usually within two weeks.	to the student, usually within two weeks.		
Step 2: If the student is still not satisfied after	Step 3: If the student is still not satisfied after	The committee adjusted the language to refer to explicit senate	Step 3: If the student is still not satisfied after receiving the
receiving the response from this second level of	receiving the response from this second level of	policy, rather than "with documentation." We felt the request	response from this second level of appeal, they may submit a
appeal, the student may submit a formal grade	appeal, the student may submit a formal grade	was appropriate but perhaps still did not provide enough	formal grade appeal no later than the end of the 7th full week of
appeal with supporting documentation no later than	appeal not later than Friday of the 7 th week of the	guidance. Hence, we edited the statement instead to refer	the same semester to the University Course Grade Appeal
Friday of the 7th week of the semester term to the	semester term to the University Course Grade Appeal	directly to the policies in play for additional guidance. We ask	Committee through the Office of Student Success. The
University Course Grade Appeal Committee through the Office of Student Success.	Committee through the Office of Student Success.	the senate to insert the correct Policy number when it is	University Course Grade Appeal Committee will be formed
the Office of Student Success.		formally approved.	according to the procedures set in Policy No. XXXX, and will include student representation. This committee will handle
			grade appeals in a manner compliant with FERPA and HIPAA
			regulations. Additional information on preparing a written grade
			appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.
			appear is a familiarie from the office of state in success.
Step 3: The Chair of the University Course Grade	Step 4: The Chair of the University Course Grade		Step 4: The Chair of the University Course Grade Appeal
Appeal Committee will forward the student's	Appeal Committee will forward the student's		Committee will forward the student's statement to the
statement to the instructor. The instructor will	statement to the instructor. The instructor will		instructor as soon as possible. The instructor will be asked to
submit a written response within 2 weeks in writing.	submit a written response within 2 weeks in writing.		respond in writing by a specified date (normally within two
The student's statement and the instructor's	The student's statement and the instructor's		weeks). The student's statement and the instructor's response
response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal	response will then be reviewed by the Grade Appeal		will then be reviewed by the entire Grade Appeal committee,
committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of	committee, normally within two weeks of receipt of		normally within two weeks of receipt of the instructor's
the instructor's response.	the instructor's response.		response.
Stop 4: When the Committee has reade its	Stop E wooddod the statement "However if there is	Stop E. The committee added the statement "Have and "Hav	Stan E. When the Committee has made its recommendation the
Step 4: When the Committee has made its	Step 5- we added the statement "However, if there is	Step 5- The committee added the statement "However, if there is an allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to	Step 5: When the Committee has made its recommendation, the
recommendation, the student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's	an allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to the Provost or their designee." Because	is an allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to the Provost or their designee." Because as it turns out, this is	student will be notified of it in writing, and be given a copy of the instructor's written response to the student's statement.
written response to the student statement. This	as it turns out, this is appropriate. Concern was raised	appropriate. Concern was raised that if someone on the	This grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks to
grade appeal procedure may take six to eight weeks	that if someone on the committee violates	appropriate. Concern was raised that it someone on the	complete, and therefore should finish by the end of the 15th
o. and appear procedure may take six to eight weeks	Jointone on the committee violates	<u> </u>	John process, and therefore should fillion by the chia of the 15th

\sim	\sim

to complete. The outcome of the formal grade	procedures, students should be aware that they have	committee violates procedures, students should be aware that	week. The outcome of the formal grade appeal procedure is
appeal is final; there is no higher level of appeal.	some recourse.	they have some recourse.	final; there is no higher level of appeal. However, if there is an
			allegation of improper procedure, this may be reported to the
			Provost or their designee
Additional information on preparing a written grade	Additional information on preparing a written grade		The University Course Grade Appeal Committee will submit a
appeal is available from the Office of Student	appeal is available from the Office of Student Success.		report detailing the number and disposition of cases heard to
Success.			the President and the Academic Senate annually.