Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Document 2021-2026

Educational Leadership Department College of Education and Integrative Studies

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	3
	A. Definitions	3
	B. Department Philosophy	4
II.	Procedures	6
	A. References to Policy Number 1328	6
	B. Department RTP Procedures	6
	C. Student Evaluation of Teaching	8
	D. Peer Evaluation of Teaching	8
	E. Positions Other Than Teaching	8
111.	Criteria for RTP Action	10
	A. Elements of Performance and Evaluation	10
	Teaching	11
	Scholarly and Creative Activity	11
	Service	14
	B. Criteria for Reappointment	14
	C. Criteria for Tenure	15
	D. Criteria for Promotion to Associate Professor	16
	E. Criteria for Promotion to Professor	16
	F. Criteria for Early Tenure	17
	G. Criteria for Early Promotion to Associate Professor	18
	H. Criteria for Early Promotion to Professor	19
IV	.Professional Development Plan	20
A	ppendix A – Peer-Observation of Teaching Criteria	21
A	ppendix B – Peer-Observation of Teaching Form	24
A	ppendix C – Student Evaluation of Courses	26

# I. Introduction

The reappointment, tenure, and promotion process is a critically important faculty responsibility. RTP is the mechanism by which we assure the success of our faculty and thereby assure educational quality for our students. While the President makes final decisions on reappointment, tenure, and promotion, it is the department faculty who are in the best position to provide clear expectations, create an environment conducive to achieving expectations, and render the most informed recommendations to the President. The Department RTP Criteria Document communicates department expectations and RTP procedures to the department faculty, faculty candidates, the dean, the College RTP Committee, the University RTP Committee, and academic administrators. University policies including the Unit 3 Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), Policy 1328, and Policy #1329 of the University Manual define university procedures and expectations. Department documents must supplement and may not conflict with these policies. In the event of discrepancies, the CBA takes first precedence and university policies take second precedence over departmental policies.

The Collective Bargaining Agreement requires that a tenure-track faculty member be provided a copy of the Department RTP Criteria Document within fourteen (14) days of the start of their first semester at Cal Poly Pomona.

It is recommended that department criteria be maintained on the department web page so that they are available to candidates for faculty positions. It can also be found on the Faculty Affairs website: <a href="https://www.cpp.edu/faculty-affairs/rtp.shtml">https://www.cpp.edu/faculty-affairs/rtp.shtml</a>. The primary purpose of the Department RTP Criteria Document is to articulate clearly what the department expects of its faculty members and, in particular, what they must achieve in order to be granted reappointment, tenure, and promotion. These expectations must be stated with sufficient clarity and specificity that the candidates are able to plan their activities around them. Department criteria should be consistent with department and college mission, vision, goals, and accreditation standards. In other words, they should articulate a model of the department faculty colleague to which the candidate should aspire.

RTP is not simply a matter of evaluation. Faculty colleagues, deans, and academic administrators should commit themselves to mentoring and supporting candidates, providing them opportunities to be successful. It is important for those making recommendations to be honest, direct, and clear, just as it is important for candidates to be knowledgeable of department expectations and committed to meeting them.

# A. Definitions

Policy Number 1328 provides a comprehensive overview of RTP procedures. Some of the more important definitions are provided here.

- 1. **Candidate** refers to a faculty member who is under consideration for reappointment, tenure, or promotion action in the current cycle.
- RTP Committee members must be full-time tenured faculty members. Department RTP Committee (DRTPC) members are elected by the tenured and probationary faculty. A faculty member on professional leave (sabbatical or difference-in-pay) may serve if elected and willing. A tenured faculty member who will be a candidate for promotion may be elected, but may only participate on reappointment cases – may not

participate in promotion or tenure recommendations. (See also Policy Number 1328, https://www.cpp.edu/academicmanual/1300-1399-academic-personnel-policies/1325-1349-faculty-mpp-peformance-evaluations.shtml).

- 3. **Criteria** are the expectations articulated in the department RTP criteria document and in Policy 1328. Criteria define what a candidate must achieve in order to be positively recommended for reappointment, tenure, or promotion. Criteria documents contain procedural information as well; however, it is important to distinguish between criteria and rules/procedures. Department RTP Criteria are adopted by a majority vote of the tenured and probationary faculty, submitted to the dean and the College RTP Committee for review and comment, and ultimately approved by the president or his designee (see also Policy 1328).
- 4. A **probationary year** of service is any two semesters in a period of two consecutive semesters. The first probationary year begins with the first fall term of appointment.
- 5. A faculty member is **eligible to apply for tenure** at the beginning of the sixth probationary year. An application for tenure prior to the sixth probationary year is an application for **early tenure**.
- 6. A faculty member is **eligible to apply for the first promotion** at the time they apply for tenure. Once tenured, the faculty member is **eligible for a subsequent promotion** after having served four years in the current rank. Applications for promotion that are submitted prior to completing these eligibility time requirements are applications for early promotion.
- 7. Criteria for early actions shall require exceptional performance or extraordinary qualifications with regard to teaching ability, scholarly and professional activities, and university service.
- 8. Policy 1329 of the University Manual governs student evaluation of teaching (see <u>https://www.cpp.edu/academic-manual/1300-1399-academic-personnel-policies/1325-1349/policy</u> 1329 student evaluation of teaching 1.pdf).
- 9. **Peer evaluation of teaching** is the responsibility of the Department RTP Committee and includes a classroom observation; review of course syllabus and other teaching materials, and a written report.
- 10. A **candidate for reappointment must use the Department RTP criteria** in effect at the time of the candidate's initial probationary appointment. *Current* procedures and policies apply.
- 11. A **candidate for tenure or promotion may choose between the criteria** in effect at the time of the initial probationary appointment and those in effect at the time of the request for action. In any case, *current* university procedures and policies apply. A candidate requesting both tenure and promotion must choose a single set of criteria for both actions.

# B. Department Philosophy

Both our department vision and mission drive our department philosophy. We envision a department that develops the professional expertise of educational leaders to increase equity, quality, and justice in educational organizations. The programs emphasize social responsibilities of leading diverse educational organizations and engaging all stakeholders in the commitment to equity and justice for all students.

Given this vision, the mission of the Educational Leadership Department is to further the development of ethical school leaders who purposefully contribute to the improvement of schools

through collaborative leadership. They demonstrate an ongoing commitment to both equity and excellence in their work as reflective, scholar-practitioner leaders who model integrity, the ethic of care, and cultural proficiency. We are committed to fostering social justice, reflective practice, reciprocal learning, ethical leadership, collaboration, and culturally proficient leadership in educational leadership, research, and scholarship.

The Educational Leadership Department's philosophy informs how faculty advance the department's vision and mission in teaching, scholarship and creative activity, and service as they relate to the professional development of students and/or faculty.

The process of Retention, Tenure and Promotion (RTP) is designed to support the professional development of each member of the faculty of the Department of Educational Leadership. The Department recognizes that professional growth and development is a continuing responsibility throughout the duration of a professional career. The RTP process is a formative assessment conducted by the faculty member and the RTP Committee, composed of peers, which is designed to facilitate individual growth and self- assessment of professional growth. While recognizing and accommodating the professional and individual variations in areas of interest, assignment, and skill, the RTP process emphasizes the importance of high standards of performance in all categories of review.

The process is also intended to provide guidance and support to faculty members without restricting their academic freedom to pursue, develop, and present the findings of scholarly inquiry and educational activities in ways that are compatible with the mission of the Department. It is expected that once a full-time, tenure-track faculty member's appointment begins the department assumes an investment in that faculty member for the candidate's professional development in higher education.

Candidates are evaluated for teaching performance, scholarly and creative activity, and service at any level in the University, community, and profession. In evaluating a candidate for reappointment, tenure, or promotion, the DRTP Committee will consider these evaluation areas in light of the candidate's re-appointment level, past performance, and improvement.

The criteria are informed by the Carnegie Foundation's *Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate* (Boyer, 1990) and *Scholarship Assessed: Evaluation of the Professoriate* (Glassick, Huber, Maeroff, 1997). Based on this model, the Department values a broadened "scope of scholarship," in which education faculty engage—scholarship of discovery, integration, application, and teaching (p. 9). In addition, the Department views every aspect of a candidate's professional work as part of an ongoing process of professional growth (informed by the practices of intellectual inquiry and self-reflection) that will advance his/her teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service to the university, community, and profession. The Department encourages and supports the candidate's development of a professional identity that is framed around a domain (or domains) of expertise within the field of education. The Department also encourages, supports, and values the impact of faculty service and creative activities in the field. In keeping with the polytechnic mission of the university and the professional service functions of a college of education, the contributions made by Department faculty that advance educational knowledge, skills, and practice in the field are considered to be important elements of a scholarly portfolio.

# II. Procedures

# A. Reference to Policy Number 1328

Policy Number 1328 describes RTP procedures in complete detail. It is the candidate's responsibility to consult Policy Number 1328. Candidates should be aware that changes to Policy Number 1328 occur more frequently than do changes to the Department RTP document.

# B. Department RTP Procedures

- The Department RTP Committee (DRTPC) shall be composed of at least three tenured faculty members who are serving in Department and shall always have an odd number of members. If the Department does not have three tenured faculty members, a tenured faculty member from another Department can be elected to the DRTPC. Each year, the DRTPC members will be elected by secret ballot, and then the DRTPC members will vote by secret ballet to elect a DRTPC Chair. The Department Chair will serve as a member of the DRTPC instead of providing a separate review.
  - A request for an external review of materials submitted by a faculty unit employee may be initiated an any level of review by any party to the review. Such a request shall document (1) the special circumstances which necessitate an external reviewer, and (2) the nature of the materials needing the evaluation of an external reviewer. The request must be approved by the President with the concurrence of the faculty unit employee. (CBA 15.12d).
- The Department RTP Committee (DRTPC) Chairperson In addition to what is defined in Policy Number 1328, the DRTPC chairperson shall do the following. By the beginning of Fall semester. The DRTPC chairperson offers an orientation to candidates to ensure that candidates have information they need, including
  - a. Information about what actions they must/may apply,
  - b. Information for which they need to prepare requests (e.g. RTP calendar, DRTP criteria),
  - c. Clarification of RTP process and procedures, and expectations for preparing packages, and
  - d. Preparation of a memoranda of understanding, which must be approved by the DRTPC and agreed to by the DRTPC Chairperson, on differentiated RTP criteria for each faculty during their professional leave assignment.

<u>During the Fall semester and beyond</u>. The DRTPC chairperson oversees the arrangements for the peer-evaluation of teaching between tenured faculty and faculty subsequently seeking tenure and/or promotion.

3. **The Department RTP Committee (DRTPC)** -- In addition to what is defined in Policy 1328, the DRTPC shall do the following.

During the Fall Semester

- a. Inform Faculty Affairs and Dean's Office of candidate requests.
- b. Post announcement(s) in prominent place(s) throughout the department and in the CEIS website the names of candidates requesting RTP action, the type of request made, and the name of the individual to whom signed comments or

recommendation can be given within seven business days of the candidate's notification that s/he will request an RTP action.

- c. Accept students' signed comments and recommendations along with the candidate's corresponding responses up to the time the committee starts its evaluation of the candidate's requests. Note that any solicitation by a faculty member on their behalf, or by a faculty member or administrator on behalf of or against another faculty member is considered unprofessional and is prohibited (see Policy Number 1329, 1.2).
- d. Approve memoranda of understandings prepared by the DRTPC Chairperson on differentiated RTP criteria for each faculty during their professional leave assignment.
- e. Provide candidates with copies of all recommendations and comments so that the candidate has an opportunity to respond to them.
- f. Ensure that packages are complete and how the PAF should be consulted for additional relevant materials.
- g. Provide the department recommendation to the candidate.
- h. Serve as the official custodian of the candidate's RTP package. Personnel recommendations or decisions relating to retention, tenure, or promotion or any other personnel action shall be placed in the Personnel Action File (CBA 15.12c).

<u>Throughout the year</u>. The DRTPC ensures that peer evaluations are conducted for all faculty members who will be candidates for RTP action in the future. Ensures that reports are provided to candidates within two weeks of the class visit (#1328 3.3 C).

### 4. **Responsibilities of the Candidate –** It is the responsibility of the candidate to:

- a. Initiate a request for RTP action.
- b. Write a self-evaluation narrative that includes:
  - Providing a narrative introduction before each section (teaching and supervision section, scholarly and creative activity section and service section) of one's overall achievements in each area (as set forth in section IIIA) and in the case of a request for early promotion, explaining how the candidate's performance in each section exceeds the standards required for regular promotion (as set forth in the sections describing the criteria for early action).
  - 2) Providing previous years' DRTPC recommendation at the beginning of each section of teaching, service, and scholarly and creative activity, so the committee can review the candidate's progress toward meeting recommendations, making further recommendations that are consistent over time.
  - 3) Identifying all materials to be considered, making them available in the candidate's Personnel Action File (PAF).
  - 4) Summarizing and/or citing supplementary material when appropriate.
  - 5) Submitting an appendix of supplementary materials (e.g. publications, grant proposals, course materials, commendations, artwork, etc.) in the DRTPC's office files.
  - 6) Including an index in the RTP package to specify where the supplemental materials are located in the Appendix.
  - 7) Including course evaluations from all classes taught during the academic year and the peer evaluations. Student evaluations will be completed each term following the university procedures without the faculty member in the room, and students will be instructed to provide their Bronco ID and signatures if comments are written. The

evaluations will be placed in a sealed envelope and returned to the department office. The program coordinator will schedule the peer review process each term, and these evaluations will be included by the candidate. An external review by a faculty member at another university will not be included in the review process.

- c. Notifies the DRTPC Chair in writing that either there will or will not be a request for consideration when the DRTPC Chair informs the candidate that s/he is eligible for RTP action.
- d. Monitors the progress of the faculty member's request through the various review groups of the DRTPC and the College RTPC and University RTPC, including withdrawing the request, without prejudice at any level of review.

# C. Student Evaluation of Teaching

It is the candidate's responsibility to refer to the most recent version of Policy 1329, the University manual, and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) for specific procedures. The administration of student evaluations shall ensure anonymity of the students participating in the evaluation process (see Policy 1329, E). The faculty member will leave the room at the time that the course evaluations are being completed, and a student in the class will be asked to administer the evaluation forms by following the instructions within the envelope containing the forms and to submit the forms in a sealed envelope to the Department's office following the evaluation process. If the class is being offered through a virtual delivery mode, the University will send the course evaluations to each student through email and the response will be submitted directly to the University. The Departmental procedures shall include safeguards which preclude tampering or other activities which may invalidate the results of the evaluation (see Policy 1329, F). These safeguards include that the faculty member is not present in the room at the time that the evaluations are being completed, that a student in the course instead of the faculty member provides the instructions for completing the course evaluations, and the student who administered the course evaluations submits the sealed envelope to the Department office. The instructions to the students for completing these evaluations include informing the students that any comments or letters that are provided at the time of the course evaluation must be signed and include the student's Bronco ID. The Department will provide the evaluation forms to the University for compilation. The responses to the course evaluations will not be viewed by the faculty member until after the University provides the analysis of the results, and these results will be received following the time that grades are submitted for the course.

# D. Peer Evaluation of Teaching

It is the candidate's responsibility to refer to the most recent version of Policy 1329. The Department's program coordinators will schedule the peer evaluations in cooperation with the candidate. Peer evaluation of teaching will be provided each term for non-tenured faculty. The individual faculty unit employee being evaluated shall be provided a notice of at least five (5) days that a classroom visit, online observation, and/or review of online content is to take place. There shall be consultation between the faculty member being evaluated and the individual who visits his/her class(es) regarding the classes to be visited and the scheduling of such visits. (CBA 15.14)

# E. Positions Other Than Teaching

1. These positions refer to Candidates and Future Candidates serving in administrative positions or performing administrative duties, serving in positions of academic governance, reassigned time, or on leave. It is the candidate's responsibility to refer to the most recent version of the policy.

- 2. Candidates on leave shall be evaluated using the criteria for teaching, scholarly or creative activity, and service. Faculty may be on leave from teaching duties for such purposes as sabbatical leave, fellowships and grants, overseas teaching program coordination, administrative assignment for the University, reassigned time and visiting professor/scholar at another institution. Candidates who are away from campus during the academic year in which they must/may apply for apply for action shall observe the same procedures and timelines as candidates in residence.
- 3. For such professional leave assignments, the candidate will agree to a memorandum of understanding with the Department Chair and DRTPC outlining the criteria for RTP, which may include a reduced teaching assignment with minimal course evaluation requirements, and/or reduced scholarship and service. The memorandum must be approved by the DRTPC to be sure all aspects of teaching, service, and scholarship are met.
- 4. Such individuals must ensure that they understand department expectations during the time they are away. This memorandum of understanding shall be approved by the Dean, and Associate Vice President for Faculty Affairs. The memorandum will be placed in the PAF.

# **III. Criteria for RTP Action**

# A. Elements of Performance and Evaluation

The criterion areas include 1) teaching and supervision of culminating experiences (clinical experience, project, thesis, comprehensive exam, and/or dissertation supervision); 2) scholarly and creative activity; and 3) service to department/ college/university/community/ profession and advising. Criteria are designed to guide candidates in a manner that is flexible enough to allow the candidate to demonstrate effectiveness in a variety of ways. In all areas of teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service, candidates are expected to establish a progressively productive/rigorous set of expectations during the probationary period (#1328, 2.1).

The criteria contained in Section III are intended to guide both candidates and faculty Department Retention, Tenure, and Promotion Committee (DRTPC) members. However, they are not intended to constrain the fair and reasoned professional judgments of the DRTPC.

Candidates should provide a self-evaluation narrative that succinctly addresses teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service in all RTP packages (e.g. reappointment, tenure, and promotion). Candidates should articulate the significance of their work, as appropriate and should address each of the recommendations made in previous review cycle(s).

In areas of teaching and supervision, scholarly and creative activity, and service, candidates should articulate the significance of their work, as appropriate to the extent possible, and organize each section according to the following headings:

- a. **DRTPC's recommendations:** Include the DRTPC's recommendations from the previous year and respond to these recommendations in both the narrative and the appendices.
- b. Goals: Articulate the philosophy and aims of one's work.
- c. Activities: Describe the activities taken to achieve one's goals.
- d. **Results:** Describe the results of one's work.
- e. **Reflective critique:** Write a critical self-evaluation of one's work and discuss plans for future work, including how they will lead to tenure and/or promotion, as appropriate. The discussion of future work plans and how they will lead to tenure and/or promotion is considered the candidate's Professional Development Plan, described in Section IV.A.

On RTP Policies and Procedures, Policy 1328 states, "The period covered by the self-evaluation ("period of review") should be the time period that has passed since the last application was made for the same or a similar action. Reappointment evaluations are normally based on the previous year's performance; promotion evaluations are based on the period since the previous application for promotion or since original appointment; and tenure evaluations are based on the period since original appointment to the probationary

position. The candidate may discuss achievements outside of the period of review, but only for the purpose of demonstrating consistency of performance. Thus, this discussion should be brief."

Given the integrated nature of work in education, the department recognizes that some activities might be included in more than one category. The candidate has discretion to determine where to place these types of activities and to explain the reason for this placement.

While the DRTPC expects that the candidate will demonstrate strong performance in all areas of teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service, the DRTPC may consider extenuating circumstances and/or the strength of one's overall work across teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service in decisions regarding tenure and/or promotion.

### 1. Teaching and Supervision of Culminating Experiences

Teaching is effective when it results in student learning and when it is closely aligned with course and program learning goals and expected outcomes. Candidates should have freedom to employ a variety of strategies and assessments that they believe will promote student learning and achieve course outcomes. In addition, the department highly values efforts by faculty members to work collaboratively to improve teaching and learning. The assessment of teaching effectiveness is determined through a holistic assessment of multiple measures such as the teaching philosophy, syllabi, course materials, instructional methods, assignments, assessments, student course evaluations, peer observations reports and student work. Supervision of culminating experiences including fieldwork and clinical practice in the credential programs, masters' theses and projects in the MA program, and dissertations in the Ed.D. program is considered of equal value to teaching courses. As set forth in Commission on Teacher Credentialing's (2015) Common Standards, candidates whose primary teaching load resides in the credential programs are required to do some supervision of field-based activities and/or clinical practice. Evidence of quality supervision might include supervisor evaluations, review of field work, field work materials and methods, assignments, student work and documentation of candidates' performance). The work of master's thesis and project committee chairs and of all chairs or members of doctoral dissertations committees is considered teaching; membership on a master's committee will be viewed as service (see section III. A.3.c).

# 2. Scholarly and Creative Activity

- a. Cal Poly Pomona recognizes that faculty members will select the research methodology that is most appropriate in answering the research questions. The Department encourages and supports faculty who pursue scholarship that relates to the candidate's field of interest in order to establish a record of focused scholarly and creative activities in the candidate's area(s) of expertise. The DRTPC will assess the quality of these activities in terms of:
  - 1) Scope—the development, application, and refinement of expertise in the field

- 2) **Originality**—the extent in which the work advances knowledge, practice, and the profession
- 3) **Rigor**—the difficulty, intensity, and complexity of the work
- 4) **Audience**—public sharing in local, regional, state, national, and international arenas
- 5) Impact—the contribution of the research to the field and profession.

The trajectory of a candidate's scholarly and creative endeavors is expected to increase and become higher in quality in the aforementioned areas over time as the candidate approaches tenure and/or promotion.

b. The DRTPC recognizes that legitimate scholarship comes in many forms and is expressed through multiple venues. Within the profession of higher education, the forms and venues of scholarship are commonly accorded different values as a consequence of their methodological rigor, quality, and contributions to the domains of theory and/or practice. Following are examples of valued scholarship organized hierarchically by scope, originality, rigor, audience, and value to the field (i.e., most highly valued, highly valued, and valued).

This taxonomy is provided as an illustration only, does not preclude the consideration of other forms of legitimate scholarship (since overall performance must be appraised) and is meant to offer guidance to both candidates and the DRTPC. Refer to Section III for further detail regarding the criteria used to guide decisions for promotion and tenure.

1) MOST HIGHLY VALUED:

Scholarship that makes a significant contribution to the candidate's area of expertise and is based on original empirical research, grounded in research or empirical literature, and/or bridges theory and practice, such as

#### **Publications**

- Scholarly books and monographs
- Articles in refereed national and international journals
- Editor of an edited book
- State, national, or international educational documents

#### **Presentations**

• Refereed presentations at national or international conferences

#### Grants and other sponsored activity

- Funded competitive grant proposals external to Cal Poly Pomona
- Government or private foundation sponsored research projects and/or reports

#### Other scholarly and creative activity

 Lead role in creative projects, media, scholarship, and/or major reports for the university, county offices of education, regional education laboratories, public schools, school districts or other education agencies with evidence of positive impact on practice and/or student learning outcomes • International, national, or state educational policy document (e.g., legislative initiatives, proposals, regulations, programs, standards, curriculum or assessments)

### 2) HIGHLY VALUED:

Scholarship that makes an important contribution to the candidate's area of expertise and draws from research or empirical literature and/or bridges theory and practice, such as

**Publications** 

- Chapters in an edited work
- Published trade journal articles, book reviews, or educational pieces in popular and other media
- Contributor to significant reports or policy documents by federal, state, and local agencies and private foundations

#### **Presentations**

• Refereed presentations in state professional associations, agencies, or groups

#### Grants and other sponsored activity

- Funded internal CPP grants
- Unfunded grant proposals external to Cal Poly Pomona
- Significant contributions to funded grants and educational projects supported by internal or external funding

#### Other scholarly and creative activity

- Significant contributions to creative projects, media, scholarship, and/or major reports for the university, county offices of education, regional education laboratories, public schools, school districts or other education agencies with evidence of positive impact on practice and/or student learning outcomes
- 3) VALUED:

Scholarship that makes a contribution to the candidate's area of expertise, such as:

#### **Publications**

• Any professional contributions, online or otherwise, that are not peer- reviewed.

#### **Presentations**

• Non-refereed scholarly presentations or roundtables.

#### Grant activity

 Contributions to grants and educational projects supported by internal or external funding.

Other scholarly and creative activity

 Contributions to creative projects, media, scholarship, and/or major reports for the university, county offices of education, regional education laboratories, public schools, school districts or other education agencies with evidence of positive impact on practice and/or student learning outcomes.

### 3. Service

- a. The Department expects service with the department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or the profession at large during the probationary period of employment and as the faculty member progresses through one's career. The DRTPC will determine the value of one's service using the following general criteria:
  - **Service Venue**, such as department, college, university, education agencies at the international, national, state, and local levels, community, and/or profession);
  - **Time Investment** such as amount per semester and the total number of semesters;
  - **Rigor** such as difficulty, intensity, and complexity of the work; and
  - Value of Contribution such as role (e.g., leader versus participant).
- b. Program Advising

Faculty members are expected to serve student needs through advising as required by their respective divisions.

c. Graduate Advising

Advising in the graduate program includes serving as a committee member for a master's thesis or project. Other work on graduate committees is treated as teaching, as described in section III.A.1.

# B. Criteria for Reappointment

The DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions in teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service, in reference to the **Elements of Performance and Evaluation** described above, as appropriate to the candidate's assignment, rank, and year of service.

- 1 <u>Teaching and Supervision of Culminating Experiences</u>: In review of student course evaluation scores (in which the majority of scores are expected to fall between 1.0 and 2.0), average peer observations of teaching, and the candidate's self-evaluation, the DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective teaching and supervision as appropriate.
- 2 <u>Scholarly and Creative Activities</u>: In review of the candidate's scholarly and creative activity, the DRTPC will consider evidence that the candidate will have a record of scholarly and creative activity in the candidate's field of expertise as outlined in section III.A.2 by the time the candidate requests action for promotion and/or tenure.
- 3 <u>Service</u>: Candidates must demonstrate activity in a variety of areas (department, college, university, education agencies, community, and profession). The DRTPC is

looking for evidence of sustained and increasing levels of contributions, eventually taking on leadership roles by the time the candidate requests action for promotion and/or tenure. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective mentoring and graduate advising, as appropriate.

# C. Criteria for Tenure

A request for tenure occurs when a probationary faculty member has begun the 6<sup>th</sup> year of service, at which time the request is obligatory. Teaching ability and scholarly activities are the primary considerations for granting tenure. Service to the department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or profession is expected and will be taken into consideration.

The DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions as appropriate to the candidate's assignment and rank. The candidate should articulate what was accomplished and the significance of those accomplishments. The DRTPC will determine whether the accomplishments are significant enough to warrant a recommendation for tenure to the next level of review. The RTP materials will also be reviewed by the dean, the College RTPC, and subsequent levels in order to determine the final recommendation regarding tenure.

- <u>Teaching and Supervision of Culminating Experiences</u>: In review of student course evaluation scores, peer-observations of teaching, and the candidate's self-evaluation, the DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective teaching and supervision when determining a candidate's teaching ability in relation to tenure. The areas the candidate chooses should document outcomes related to effective teaching and supervision. A cumulative average of more than 2.0 on student course evaluations or below average on peer evaluations may disqualify a candidate from receiving a recommendation for tenure.
- 2. <u>Scholarly and Creative Activity</u>: In review of the candidate's scholarly and creative activity, the DRTPC will consider evidence that the candidate has an established record of scholarly and creative activity in the candidate's field of expertise as outlined in section III.A.2. It is expected that candidates under consideration for tenure will provide evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least three publications and/or externally funded grants, with at least one scholarly activity falling within the level of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and the remainder either in the level of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author. Evidence of additional scholarship in the "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" levels will strengthen the candidate's case for tenure.
- 3. <u>Service</u>: In review of the candidate's service contributions, the DRTPC will consider evidence of activity in a variety of areas (department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or profession). The DRTPC looks for evidence of leadership and/or time-intensive responsibilities through committee work in these areas for recommendation for tenure. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective supervision and graduate advising, as appropriate. The Department expects at least two areas of service at each of the areas of department, college or university, and state or national service to the profession for tenure. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions and graduate advising for tenure. The DRTPC will also consider the areas of department, college or university, and state or national service to the profession for tenure. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective mentoring and

graduate advising as appropriate.

## D. Criteria for Promotion to Associate Professor

A request for promotion to associate professor is never obligatory but shall typically be considered for promotion at the same time a candidate is considered for tenure in the sixth year of service. Teaching ability and scholarly activities are the primary considerations for granting promotion to associate professor. Service to the department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or profession is expected and will also be taken into consideration.

The DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions as appropriate to the candidate's assignment and the standards for promotion to associate professor. The candidate should articulate what was accomplished and the significance of those accomplishments. The DRTPC will determine whether the accomplishments are significant enough to warrant promotion to associate professor.

- <u>Teaching and Supervision of Culminating Experiences</u>: In review of student course evaluation scores, peer-observations of teaching, and the candidate's self-evaluation, the DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective teaching and supervision, when determining a candidate's teaching ability in relation to promotion to associate professor. The areas the candidate chooses should document outcomes related to effective teaching and supervision. A cumulative average of more than 2.0 on student course evaluations or below average on peer evaluations may disqualify a candidate from receiving a recommendation for promotion.
- 2. <u>Scholarly and/or Creative Activity</u>: In review of the candidate's scholarly and creative activity, the DRTPC will consider evidence that the candidate has an established record of scholarly and creative activity in the candidate's field of expertise as outlined in section III.A.2. It is expected that candidates under consideration for promotion will provide evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least three publications and/or externally funded grants, with at least one within the levels of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and at least two or more in the levels of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author. Evidence of additional scholarship in the "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and/or "highly valued" activity where the candidate served as first or sole author. Evidence of additional scholarship in the "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued"
- 3. <u>Service:</u> In review of the candidate's contributions in the area of service, the DRTPC will consider evidence of activity in a variety of areas (department, college, university, education agencies, community, and profession). The DRTPC is looking for evidence of leadership, time-intensive responsibilities through committee work, and/or sustained contributions to program development in these areas for recommendation for promotion. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective supervision and graduate advising, as appropriate. The Department expects at least two areas of service at each of the areas of department, college or university, and state or national service to the profession for promotion to associate professor.

#### E. Criteria for Promotion to Professor

A request for promotion to professor is never obligatory but will be considered only if the candidate has served at least four years of service in the rank of associate professor.

Furthermore, promotion to professor is only possible if the faculty member is tenured or is granted tenure at the time of promotion. Consistently strong teaching ability, scholarly and creative activities, and service are the primary considerations for granting promotion to professor.

The DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions as appropriate to the candidate's assignment and the standards for promotion to professor. The candidate should articulate what was accomplished and the significance of those accomplishments. The DRTPC review will be followed by a review by the College RTPC, the Dean, and the University RTPC to determine whether the accomplishments are significant enough to warrant promotion to professor.

- <u>Teaching and Supervision of Culminating Experiences</u>: In review of student course evaluation scores, peer-observations of teaching, and the candidate's self-evaluation, the DRTPC will consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective teaching and supervision when determining a candidate's teaching ability in relation to promotion to professor. The areas the candidate chooses should document outcomes related to effective teaching and supervision. In addition, the candidate should demonstrate his/her knowledge or expertise and changes based on years of reflection. The majority of course evaluation scores must fall between 1.0 and 2.0 and peer observations must be above average or higher.
- 2. Scholarly and/or Creative Activity: In review of the candidate's scholarly and creative activity, the DRTPC will consider evidence that the candidate has an established record of scholarly and/or creative activity in the candidate's field of expertise as outlined in section III.A.2. The candidate should show documentation of systematic, sustained activity related to their areas of research and creative work. Documentation should also demonstrate the candidate's expertise and leadership in the field, and processes of change based on the candidate's continual reflection. It is expected that candidates under consideration for promotion will provide evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least five additional publications and/or externally funded grants with at least one falling within the levels of "most highly valued" and/or four or more "highly valued" and the remainder in levels of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" since the previous action of promotion or tenure and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author. Evidence of additional scholarship in the "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" levels
- 3. <u>Service</u>: In review of the candidate's contributions in the area of service, the DRTPC will consider a consistent record of activity in a variety of areas (department, college, university, education agencies, community, and profession). The DRTPC is looking for consistent and effective leadership, time-intensive responsibilities through committee work, and/or sustained contributions to program development in these areas. The DRTPC will also consider the candidate's contributions in areas related to effective mentoring and graduate advising, as appropriate. The Department expects at least two additional areas of service at each of the areas of department, college or university, and state or national state professional organizations as well as leadership within the department and college and/or university.

#### F. Criteria for Early Tenure

The department expects every candidate will follow the normal cycle of six years for obtaining tenure. A request for early tenure is never obligatory, requires that a recipient has completed two

years of full-time service at Cal Poly Pomona, and will be recommended for candidates who have not completed a full six years of academic experience only in exceptional cases. Therefore, it is recommended that the candidate consult with the DRTPC chairperson and Dean before pursuing an early action request.

Early tenure is reserved for those special circumstances where a candidate is so exceptional and exemplary that early tenure benefits the university (reputation, public persona, etc.) and profession as well as the candidate. The DRTPC will assess the exceptionality of the totality of teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service to determine a candidate's recommendation for early tenure.

For the purposes of this section, the following criteria are examples of exceptional and exemplary performance. However, these criteria are not intended to be all inclusive and the DTRPC may consider additional information that is relevant and important to the candidate's professional qualifications:

- 1. Average of student course evaluation ratings falls between 1.0 and 1.5 and peer observations are at least above average.
- 2. Evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least five publications and/or externally funded grants, within the levels of "most highly valued" and/or "highly valued" and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author.
- 3. A clearly defined and focused line of scholarly inquiry that advances the candidate's field of expertise that includes extensive scholarly and creative activities that fall under the "most highly valued" category and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author.
- 4. Special contributions, recognitions, and awards from state, national, and/or international professional organizations (e.g., scholarship, teaching, or service),
- 5. An ongoing and balanced distribution of group leadership and/or time-intensive work in department, college, and university service activities/programs/committees.
- 6. Leadership in standing college and/or university committees
- 7. Leadership in professional organizations
- 8. Special contributions to the university that advance the university's core goals and mission.

#### G. Criteria for Early Promotion to Associate Professor

A request for early promotion to associate professor is never obligatory. Teaching ability and scholarly activities are the primary considerations for granting early promotion to associate professor. Service to the department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or profession is expected and will also be taken into consideration.

The department expects every candidate will follow the normal cycle for obtaining promotion to associate professor. A request for early promotion to associate professor is never obligatory, requires that a recipient has completed two years of full-time service at Cal Poly Pomona, and will be recommended for candidates who have not completed a full six years of academic experience only in exceptional cases. Therefore, it is recommended that the candidate consult with the DRTPC chairperson and Dean before pursuing early action request. Early promotion is reserved for those special circumstances where a candidate is so exceptional and exemplary that early promotion benefits the university (reputation, public persona, etc.) and profession as well as the candidate. The DRTPC will assess the exceptionality of the totality of teaching, scholarly and

creative activity, and service to determine a candidate's recommendation for early tenure.

For the purposes of this section, the following criteria are examples of exceptional and exemplary performance. However, these criteria are not intended to be all inclusive and the DTRPC may consider additional information that is relevant and important to the candidate's professional qualifications:

- 1. Average of student course evaluation ratings falls between 1.0 and 1.5 and peer observations are at least above average.
- 2. Evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least three publications and/or externally funded grants, with at least publication within the level of "most highly valued" and two within the level of "highly valued" and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author.
- 3. A clearly defined and focused line of scholarly inquiry that advances the candidate's field of expertise that includes extensive scholarly and creative activities that fall under the "most highly valued" category.
- 4. Special contributions, recognitions, and awards from state, national, and/or international professional organizations (e.g., scholarship, teaching, or service),
- 5. An ongoing and balanced distribution of group leadership and/or time-intensive work in department, college, and university service activities/programs/committees.
- 6. Leadership in standing college and/or university committees
- 7. Leadership in professional organizations
- 8. Special contributions to the university that advance the university's core goals and mission.

### H. Criteria for Early Promotion to Professor

A request for early promotion to professor is never obligatory. Teaching ability and scholarly activities are the primary considerations for granting early promotion to professor. Service to the department, college, university, education agencies, community, and/or profession is expected and will also be taken into consideration.

The department expects every candidate will follow the normal cycle for obtaining promotion to associate professor. A request for early promotion to professor is never obligatory, requires that a recipient has completed two years of full-time service at Cal Poly Pomona, and will be recommended for candidates who have not completed a full six years of academic experience only in exceptional cases. Therefore, it is recommended that the candidate consult with the DRTPC chairperson and Dean before pursuing early action request.

Early promotion is reserved for those special circumstances where a candidate is so exceptional and exemplary that early promotion benefits the university (reputation, public persona, etc.) and profession as well as the candidate. The DRTPC will assess the exceptionality of the totality of teaching, scholarly and/or creative activity, and service to determine a candidate's recommendation for early tenure.

For the purposes of this section, the following criteria are examples of exceptional and exemplary performance. However, these criteria are not intended to be all inclusive and the DTRPC may consider additional information that is relevant and important to the candidate's professional qualifications:

1. Average of student course evaluation ratings falls between 1.0 and 1.5 and peer observations are at least above average.

- 2. Evidence of scholarly and creativity activity, including at least five publications and/or externally funded grants, with at least one publication within the levels of "most highly valued" and/or four publications within the level of "highly valued" since the previous action of promotion or tenure and at least one scholarly activity where the candidate served as first or sole author.
- 3. A clearly defined and focused line of scholarly inquiry that advances the candidate's field of expertise that includes extensive scholarly and creative activities that fall under the "most highly valued" category.
- 4. Special contributions, recognitions, and awards from state, national, and/or international professional organizations (e.g., scholarship, teaching, or service),
- 5. An ongoing and balanced distribution of group leadership and/or time-intensive work in department, college, and university service activities/programs/committees.
- 6. Leadership in standing college and/or university committees
- 7. Leadership in professional organizations
- 8. Special contributions to the university that advance the university's core goals and mission.

# **IV. Professional Development Plan**

- A. By the candidate's second year of service, he/she should present to the DRTP a professional development plan indicating the goals in teaching, scholarly and creative activity, and service that the candidate expects to accomplish prior to requesting tenure and/or promotion. This Professional Development Plan is considered part of the "future work plans" discussion under the Reflective Critique section of the candidate's self-evaluation narrative (III.A.e).
- **B.** The DRTPC will make recommendations based on the candidate's accomplishment of this plan (which will include a consideration of the candidate's reflective analysis of the factors that either advanced or inhibited his/her accomplishment of the plan and its component goals). The DRTPC will weigh these factors against the department's expectations for tenure and/or promotion.

# Appendix A - Peer-observation of Teaching Criteria

Peer reviews of teaching performance have at least equal weight in evaluating the candidate's teaching effectiveness. Peer-reviewers may include in the written report observations made or information gathered on one or more of the following:

- <u>Candidate's command of the subject content</u>. Candidate demonstrates mastery of relevant subject area through classroom activities and instruction. Related ideas/knowledge that reinforce topic or question under discussion are provided when appropriate. Ability to emphasize and highlight central ideas of significance is demonstrated. Class assignments reflect candidate's choice of pertinent material for student consideration. Scholarly references are identified when appropriate.
- <u>Continuous Growth in the Subject Field</u>. Candidate demonstrates a critical understanding of current scholarship in area under discussion through course design, assignments, and/or teaching methods. Instruction demonstrates knowledge of current scholarship and the nature of contemporary educational issues.
- 3. <u>Ability to Create an Environment of Critical Thinking</u>. Candidate demonstrates the ability to organize course content—assignments, lectures, activities, discussions, etc.—in such a way that students develop a critical perspective on the field of education.
- 4. <u>Ability to Create an Environment for Inquiry</u>. Candidate demonstrates the ability to organize course content— assignments, lectures, activities, discussions, etc.—in such a way that students explore the field of education (or a sub-area within the field) from an inquiry perspective. Students find themselves posing questions and finding complex answers. They raise issues to challenge one another. They do their own research to better their understanding.
- 5. <u>Ability to Integrate Course Content with Examples & Applications for the Students Future</u> <u>Function as Educators</u>. Candidate may demonstrate integration of theory into practice by providing concrete examples of the application of course materials to classroom instruction in public education when appropriate, designing field-based assignments that encourage application of theory, allowing for class discussion on implementing theory into practice.
- 6. <u>Ability to Activate Pedagogy that Reflects Standards Contained in Course For Students</u>. Candidate demonstrates, in the organization of learning activities and professional behavior, the same standards of pedagogical excellence that are recommended for students to develop in their future careers as teachers.
- 7. <u>Ability to Organize and Present Material</u>. Candidate displays continuity and coherence in the development of ideas and topics that is evident in the ability of students to understand and learn the offered material. Diversity of instructional methods related to the nature of content should be evident. Verbal communication skills should effectively convey the meaning and significance of material under discussion.

- 8. <u>Expertise in Making Content Relevant to Student Population</u>. Candidate provides curricular content that is organized and presented so that students understand the relationship of material to future professional needs. Teaching and content recognizes and utilizes the cultural diversity of students in a multicultural society so that course content contains references and examples that reflect this cultural diversity.
- 9. <u>Innovation and Experimentation in Active Student Learning</u>. Candidate demonstrates skills in organized innovative teaching and learning activities such as: classroom community building, cooperative student learning, small group work, independent projects, student simulations and presentations, field investigations, discovery and inquiry approaches, learning assignments and experiences that reflect multicultural diversity, and interactive exchanges that allow student initiative in the active engagement of interpretative and analytical learning.
- 10. <u>An Awareness of Relationship of Content to Realities of Professional Education and Knowledge</u>. Candidate displays awareness and knowledge of the significant issues in public education and scholarly research that responds to such issues. Content is offered as the context in which specific educational issues can be better understood. The relationship between scholarship and educational practice should be explicit.
- 11. <u>Display of Investment and Enthusiasm</u>. Candidate models investment and enthusiasm in the improvement of professional practice and the possibilities and potential of students to learn under appropriate educational environments. This behavior should allow a diversity of expressive possibilities so long as students are able to perceive the instructor's devotion and dedication to the profession, and respond with their own enthusiasm and heightened investment.
- 12. Instill in Students a Lifelong Enthusiasm in Learning. Candidate represents and models the traits and behavior of an active learner. Course content or organization reflects education and learning as a dynamic and continuing process that has implication for lifelong enrichment.
- 13. <u>Effectively Evaluates Students</u>. Candidate organizes and conducts an evaluation procedure that encourages the intrinsic motivation of students for further learning. Qualitative evaluation procedures provide a diagnostic critique for further student learning. The evaluation procedures should reflect current scholarly literature in this area and those practices we wish students to emulate in their future educational practice.
- 14. <u>Provides Advisement to Students That Demonstrates Positive Interpersonal Relationships.</u> Candidate provides and models an affirmative and invested approach to the progress and welfare of students. This responsibility is a positive effort to attend to the human and learning needs of students within the professional responsibilities of instruction and advisement. The behavior of students in response to this approach will be reflected in the positive learning environment of the classroom.
- 15. <u>Has an Effective Capacity for Self-evaluation.</u> Critical self-reflection and the development of selforganized plans for future growth are essential features of continued professional development. The ability to receive and positively act upon

recommendations for professional growth, by student evaluation, peer input, RTP evaluation, and administrator assessment are features of that capacity for self- evaluation.

16. <u>Collegiality or Willingness to Assume One's Fair Share in Teaching and Concomitant</u> <u>Professional Responsibilities.</u> Candidate displays an energy and investment in assuming an equitable share in the distribution of professional responsibilities in the department. The Department recognizes the ability to teach in multidisciplinary situations that show a breadth of preparation, working cooperatively, and reflecting team teaching approaches. Appendix B – Peer Observation of Teaching Form Note: The same observation form will be used in observation of virtual instruction through zoom in synchronous learning. Peer observation will not be conducted on asynchronous learning, and all courses include synchronous learning in keeping with our department guidelines.

#### **RETENTION/TENURE/PROMOTION SUMMARY**

### **OBSERVATION REPORT**

Name

Position

Date(s) of Pre-Observation Conference

Date(s) of Observation Commendations:

Recommendations:

Date/Time of Post-Observation Conference

Instructor's Signature

## COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND INTEGRATIVE STUDIES INSTRUCTIONAL OBSERVATION REPORT

This Instructional Observation Report, conducted by a tenured faculty member, is designed to provide you with objective feedback intended to increase teaching effectiveness.

Instructor

Date

Class	Time						
Area of Skill/ Performance	Not Observed	Not Acceptable	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Outstanding	
1. Rapport with students							
2. Self-confidence while teaching							
3. Direction-giving skills							
4. Question-asking skills							
5. Knowledge of content							
6. Content appropriate to course							
7. Lesson presentation							
8. Teaching objective apparent							
9. Implements principles of learning							
10 Utilizes diverse instructional models							
11 Addresses ethnic diversity							
12 Classroom climate							
13 Teaching style							
14 General impression							

Comments (expand on any "unacceptable" and/or "outstanding" ratings)

Pre-Observation Conference Date/Time

Post-Observation Conference Date/Time

Instructor Signature

Observer's Signature

# Appendix C – Student Evaluation of Courses

- 1. The instructor is knowledgeable about content areas specific to the course
- 2. The instructor utilizes appropriate instructional materials
- 3. The instructor designs and implements a variety of effective instructional strategies
- 4. The instructor provides clear criteria for evaluation and grading
- 5. The instructor addresses cultural, linguistic, and academic diversity
- 6. The instructor is available during scheduled office hours, by appointment, or via online communication
- 7. The instructor establishes a positive classroom learning environment
- 8. The instructor provides timely and constructive feedback
- 9. The instructor presents an organized syllabus with clear objectives, expectations, and assignments
- 10. On a scale of 1-5, 1 being VERY GOOD, how would you rate this instructor?